# Comparison of the rebound tonometer (TonoVet ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) with the applanation tonometer (TonoPen $\mathrm{XL}^{\circledR}$ ) in normotensive cats 
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Purpose: To compare the intraocular pressure (IOP) readings of the rebound tonomerter (TonoVet ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) with that of applanation tonometer (TonoPen $\mathrm{XL}^{\circledR}$ ) and to study the feasibility of TonoVet ${ }^{\circledR}$ in normal cats.

Materials and Methods: Two hundred eyes of 100 clinically normal cats were used for this study. Complete ocular examinations, using slit-lamp biomicroscopy and indirect ophthalmoscopy, were conducted on each cat. The IOP was measured bilaterally using the rebound tonometer without applying topical anesthetic agent. After 30 seconds of topical anesthetic agent application, the IOP was measured by the applanation tonometer.

Results: The mean $\pm$ SD IOPs measured by the rebound tonometer were $21.0 \pm 3.4 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (range, 11 to 27 mmHg ) and the applanation tonometer were $18.6 \pm 3.2 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (range, 10 to 26 mmHg ). There was a significant difference in the IOPs measured from both tonometer ( $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.001 ). The linear regression equation describing the relationship between both tonometers was $\mathrm{y}=0.736 \mathrm{x}+7.258\left(\mathrm{y}=\right.$ rebound tonometer, $\mathrm{x}=$ applanation tonometer, $\left.\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.475\right)$.

Conclusion: The results suggest that the rebound tonometer is feasible for IOP measuring compare with the applanation tonometer in cats.
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