## DP04

## Magnetic Properties of Superparamagnets near Curie Point

### Kyung Seok Park, and Sung Joon Kim\*

Department of Physics, Yeungnam University, Gyongsan 712-749, Korea

\*Corresponding author: Sung Joon Kim, e-mail: ksj216@yumail.ac.kr

The features of the paraprocess in superparamagnetic particles in the Curie point region are discussed [1]. To describe the dependencies of the magnetic properties of superparamagnetic particles on temperature and field, the molecular field theory

supplemented with the Langevin Model [2, 3] is applied. It is shown that, as in the case of ordinary ferromagnetic particles, the paraprocess in superparamagnetic particles in the region of small fields is determined by the dominant influence of the exchange field inside the particle. The role of the external filed is, mainly, to change(or even to give rise to) the exchange field. However, the quantitative characteristics describing the paraprocess in a superparamagnet are completely different. The magnetic susceptibility of the paraprocess  $\chi_M$  (M being the specific magnetization of the particle corresponding to its "relaxation" magnetic moment  $\mu$  in the field *H*) at the Curie temperature is dependent on the field strength. Under the same conditions, the "experimental measured" magnetization  $\sigma$  is changed with the field in accordance with the H<sup>3</sup> law.

#### REFERENCES

D.G. Lee et al., J. of magnetics, 12, 68 (2007).
D.L. Lesle-Pelesky, R.D. Rieke, Chem. Mater. 8, 1770 (1996).
M.E. Shabes, J. Magn. Mater. 95, 249 (1991).




Fig. 1. Field dependence of magnetic susceptibility  $\chi_{\sigma}$  and  $\lambda_{m}$  for the system of superparamagnetic particle at the Cirie temperature.  $T_{c}$ =3000 K, N=500.

# DP05

## Contribution of the Grain Geometry on Magnetic Behavior

<u>Jehyun Lee</u><sup>1\*</sup>, Dieter Suess<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Schrefl<sup>2</sup>, Eu Sun Yu<sup>3</sup>, You Sub Lee<sup>3</sup>, Kyu Hwan Oh<sup>3</sup>, and Josef Fidler<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Solid State Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria <sup>2</sup>Department of Engineering Materials, Univ. of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield, United Kingdom <sup>3</sup>Materials Science and Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

\*Corresponding author: Jehyun Lee, e-mail: jehyun.lee@tuwien.ac.at

In the previous study [1], we have studied that the convex surface can affect on the magnetic behavior by adjusting the intergranular exchange strength. In this study, the effect of the convex grain geometry is studied in a viewpoint of the contribution of demagnetization field with finite element micromagnetic simulation. A CoCrPt-SiO<sub>2</sub> with crystalline anisotropy  $K_U=2 \times 10^5$  J/m<sup>3</sup>, saturation polarization  $J_s = 0.7$  T are assumed with 6 nm diameter grains and 1 nm intergranular phase. Two kinds of granular structure are prepared for comparison, one is a convex model which has convex top and concave bottom surfaces the other is a flat model which has flat top and bottom surfaces as usually used in micromagnetic

simulations. The convex surface is generated by surface energy minimization under given wetting angle [2]. The thickness is varied from 1 to 16 nm to investigate the contribution of demagnetization field according to the thickness.

In results, the coercivity of the convex model was always higher than that of the flat model, and their difference was larger in the thinner film. The origin of the different coercivity is seen from the magnetization configurations during reversal. In convex model, domain wall propagation through the grain boundaries is harder because of the stabilized demagnetization field between the adjacent oppositely magnetized grains. In convex model, the demagnetization energy profile during the reversal process was almost same up to the 4 nm thickness, with the maximum fluctuation amplitude  $0.01 J/m^3$ . However, that of the flat model was always as twice as that of convex model, and its shape was getting sharper




Fig. 1. Effect of thickness on coercivity.

as the thickness increases. In thicker film, higher contribution of anisotropy energy and intergranular energy reduces the differences in magnetic behaviors.

This work was partially supported by the Korean Science Foundation (KOSEF), Project No.F01-2007-000-10167-0, and partially by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF), Project No.P19350-N16.

#### REFERENCES

[1] J. Lee et al., J. Appl. Phys., 103, 07F519 (2008).

[2] K. Brakke, "The motion of a surface by its mean curvature", Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1977).