

# REAL-TIME 3D SIMULATION INFRASTRUCTURE FOR PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF HIGH-RESOLUTION SATELLITE IMAGERY

Byoungyun Yoo\*, Don Brutzman<sup>+</sup>, Soonhung Han<sup>◇</sup>

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon, Korea\*<sup>◇</sup>  
Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, USA\*<sup>+</sup>  
yoo@byoo.net\*, brutzman@nps.edu<sup>+</sup>, shhan@kaist.ac.kr<sup>◇</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** The needs for digital models of real environment such as 3D terrain or cyber city model are increasing. Most of applications related with modeling and simulation require virtual environment constructed from geospatial information of real world in order to guarantee reliability and accuracy of the simulation. The most fundamental data for building virtual environment, terrain elevation and orthogonal imagery is acquired from optical sensor of satellite or airplane. Providing interoperable and reusable digital model is important to promote practical application of high-resolution satellite imagery. This paper presents the new research regarding representation of geospatial information, especially for 3D shape and appearance of virtual terrain, and describe framework for constructing real-time 3D model of large terrain based on high-resolution satellite imagery. It provides infrastructure of 3D simulation with geographical context. Details of standard-based approach for providing infrastructure of real-time 3D simulation using high-resolution satellite imagery are also presented. This work would facilitate interchange and interoperability across diverse systems and be usable by governments, industry scientists and general public.

**KEY WORDS:** satellite image, interactive simulation, interoperability, X3D Earth, application infrastructure, standard

## 1. INTRODUCTION

High-resolution EO (electro-optical) images are being supplied widely, which were restrictively used for the purpose of military sector and/or intelligence community, according to the development and spread of satellite payloads capable of taking sub-meter class resolution images. Nonetheless, the use of high-resolution satellite imagery is limited to the primary demands such as GIS and environmental, agricultural and oceanographic monitoring applications in civilian sector. It is due to the lack of infrastructure for utilization of the imagery. Contrastively, the need for virtual environments is increasing by the growth of economy in cyber world including computer game and metaverse. The virtual environment required in the simulation of transportation, agriculture, forestry, hydrology, environment and military research and its application, however, must be built from real object data, geospatial information such as satellite imagery. Therefore the real-time 3D simulation infrastructure that can provide base platform of simulation in these verbose areas is required to promote utilization of high-resolution satellite imagery.

This paper describes a terrain tile production chain that has been developed to provide an infrastructure of building virtual terrain model for real-time 3D simulation based on high-resolution satellite imagery. Moreover standards for geospatial data representation and interchange are evaluated, and also an open standard-based framework is proposed and validated through experiments.

Section 2 explains related work and demonstrates limitation of the existing tools and the direction of the approach. Section 3 evaluates technical requirements for

the infrastructure described in following sections and compares candidates of standards. Section 4 proposes an approach to represent geospatial information using open standard and content production framework. Section 5 discusses geospatial components of X3D standard (Web3D, 2008) to represent virtual terrain. Section 6 presents experimental results of the proposed approach.

## 2. RELATED WORK

3D geospatial data and satellite images are widely and pervasively used due to outstanding improvement of geobrowsing applications (Butler, 2006). Google Earth, Microsoft Virtual Earth, NASA World Wind and ESRI ArcGIS Explorer are well known geobrowsers. Google Earth (Ertac, 2008) and ArcGIS Explorer let the user add data layers to the original map and imagery. ArcGIS Explorer allows user analysis with simple task (Lund and Macklin, 2007; Kienberger and Tiede, 2008). Geo-referenced output from other applications may be overlaid on the Earth surface or at elevation of some geobrowsers. Integration, and fusion of data from multiple sources are, however, hardly possible within the applications itself (Grossner and Clarke, 2007). Although NASA World Wind provides advanced system architecture through open source code base of its SDK for Java programming language, it still does not provide simulation functionality and requires Java developers to embed World Wind in their own applications, i.e. they need their own simulation capability. Consequently, we need real-time 3D simulation infrastructure for the practical applications utilizing satellite imagery.

Table 1. Comparison table of open standard for geospatial data representation and interchange

	GML/CityGML	KML	X3D	SEDRIS	SDTS	DXF
<b>Geospatial Component</b>	Feature	Feature	Geospatial Component	Environmental Data	Profile	Geodata object
<b>Standards Organization</b>	ISO, OGC	ISO, Google, OGC	ISO, Web3D.org	ISO, SEDRIS.org	USGS	Autodesk
<b>Interoperability</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Weak	Weak
<b>Simulation</b>	N/A	Limited, simple task	Interactive profile	Yes	N/A	N/A
<b>Real-Time 3D</b>	Weak	Yes	Yes	Limited	Limited	Limited
<b>Multimedia</b>	N/A	Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Run-time Architecture</b>	Limited	Google Earth, geobrowsers	Multiple implementation	Limited	N/A	N/A
<b>Advanced 3D</b>	N/A	N/A	Shader support	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 3. REQUIREMENTS AND SOLUTION

Following technical requirements are derived for the real-time 3d simulation infrastructure. While there are many geospatial data formats currently, this comparative research focuses on a selected number of widely used standards (ESRI, 2003). This research attempts to find the single best fit standard for both of real-time simulation and geospatial information with primary requirements:

- Geospatial support
- Interoperability
- Open architecture
- Real-time 3D
- Interactive simulation

Secondary requirements include:

- Metadata
- CAD layer
- User interaction and scripting
- Extensibility
- Advanced 3D graphics support

In order to find best fit solution for the requirements defined, a comparative study of existing standards for

representation and interchange of geospatial information was conducted. Table 1 shows the comparative result among selected standards, GML, CityGML (Kolbe et al., 2005), KML (OGC, 2008), X3D (Daly and Brutzman, 2007), SEDRIS (SEDRIS, 2007), SDTS (USGS, 2007), and DXF (Autodesk, 2008). Taking the stated primary requirements into consideration, X3D provides the competitive features with royalty-free open standards file format and run-time architecture to represent and communicate 3D scenes and objects using XML.

Crew conducted comparative study of open 3D graphics standards among open standards, among over 42 3D graphics file format. Taking the stated objectives for each 3D format into consideration with satisfaction of his study requirements, X3D provides the most comprehensive solution with reasonably sized memory and file storage (Crews, 2008). His study requirements are equivalent to the stated secondary requirements and somewhat extensive especially for 3D graphics capability.

X3D is a well known standard that is currently gaining more traction with recent collaboration with Open Geospatial Consortium and Collada.org of the Khronos Group. From these two comparative researches conducted about two dominant information standards, geospatial standards and 3D graphics standards, X3D provides best fit solution for the requirement defined.




Figure 1. Terrain tile production chain: the framework generating multi-resolution X3D terrain tile set from geospatial information

#### 4. TERRAIN TILE PRODUCTION CHAIN

Contents authoring of high fidelity virtual environment for real-time simulation application has been laborious but essential process for reliable and trustworthy result of the simulation. In order to reduce troublesome and painful repetition of geodata processing and automate most time consuming process of generating the virtual terrain, an open standard-based content production framework – terrain tile production chain – is proposed. Reusability for the reproduction of virtual terrain due to frequent update and variety of application is mostly taken into consideration in design of the framework. Furthermore the interoperability of the terrain model guaranteed in the proposed framework owes its origin to the X3D standard. The series of the chain generate multi-resolution terrain model in X3D standard format from geospatial information including hi-resolution satellite imagery for the real-time interactive visualization while simulation applications are running. Overall framework of the terrain tile production chain is shown in Figure 1 and the details are available from the online publication (Yoo, 2007) as well. The proposed framework has been validated successfully by two times of class term projects conducted by the graduate students of the Naval Postgraduate School to generate X3D scene of several locales of their own geographical interest.

The terrain tile production chain for generating multi-resolution terrain tile is composed with following processes:

- Data survey and acquisition:  
Survey and access public and/or commercial geospatial database for acquisition of geospatial information. Heterogeneous data set from multiple sources is needed sometime due to the range of coverage and resolution provided by each service. Select and download data depending on the region of interest and resolution.
- Preprocessing and data enhancement:  
Covert projection, unit, spatial coordinate system and datum for the consistency of data and processing tools. Merge multiple data from multiple data source in different data type. Select range of interest and crop. Translate file format to feed terrain tile processing tool.
- Multi-resolution tile generation:  
Define depth of LOD (level of detail) for multi-resolution terrain tile generation depending on the terrain and imagery data source and purpose of simulation. Slice and dice source terrain and imagery data into multi-resolution X3D terrain tile set using Rez and ImageSlicer. Elevation model is converted into X3D scene with texture imagery.
- Annotation and validation:  
Finalizing X3D scene with creating top level scene and adding metadata for geospatial context. Validate all tile set generated by the processing tools.
- Archiving and application:

Check into X3D Earth server for the version control. Validate X3D scene with visual inspection using standard X3D browser that is capable to render geospatial components.

#### 5. X3D GEOSPATIAL COMPONENT

In the terrain tile production chain, the X3D geospatial component is used for representing terrain model without loss of geospatial context. The X3D Earth working group of Web3D Consortium uses the Web architecture, XML languages, and open protocols to build a standards-based X3D Earth specification usable by governments, industry, scientists, academia, and the general public (Brutzman et al., 2007). The geospatial component provides support for geographic and geospatial applications including the ability to embed geospatial coordinates in certain X3D nodes, to support high-precision geospatial modeling, and to handle large multi-resolution terrain databases. The terrain tile production chain uses following nodes for the representation of multi-resolution terrain tile:

- GeoCoordinate
- GeoElevationGrid
- GeoLOD
- GeoMetadata
- GeoOrigin

The details of each nodes of the geospatial component are described in the specification document (Web3D, 2008). For the performance of rendering in real-time simulation application, the LOD is specified in the terrain tile of the production chain.

Along the series of processing chain of the terrain tile production, there are a number of conversions and translations of projection type, unit, spatial coordinate system, datum, and file format in pre-processing and tile generation process. The consistency of geospatial context during the data processing through overall production chain must be managed.

Rez tool (Thorne, 2007), an open source tools for translating gridded data to different formats, is modified and improved for the terrain tile production chain. The consistency of geospatial context and support for X3D geospatial component are improved. Most improvements are related with X3D Earth specification. Former GeoVRML output filter is modified to satisfy X3D Earth conformance and validation from 3 tiers (X3D scene generator, X3D contents and X3D browser) is conducted simultaneously for the stated consistency of geospatial context.

#### 6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

With the proposed terrain tile production chain, several experiments are conducted for validation of the framework. The most basic experiment is the generation of multi-resolution X3D tile from high-resolution satellite imagery and elevation grid. LANSAT7 satellite image

and USGS high-resolution orthoimage are used for the experiment. The highest resolution of orthoimage is 0.3m per a grid of pixel. Figure 2 shows different LOD level of generated X3D tile set. It is composed with 20 LOD levels with binary tree structure. Smooth transition between LODs depending on the distance from terrain surface to the viewpoint, real-time 3D examine and navigation, geo-referenced viewpoint handling with geographic coordinate and performance of X3D run-time architecture were validated with this experiments.



Figure 2. Multi-resolution X3D terrain tile set of San Diego, California generated from the terrain tile production chain

## 7. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have proposed a foundation of real-time 3D simulation infrastructure using X3D geospatial component and validated the possibility with the experiments. The simulation capability of geobrowser can be improved with stated infrastructure. We have shown that X3D terrain tile production from geospatial information can be routinely provided for various applications and performed evaluation of the existing geospatial information standards and 3D graphics standards. Moreover we have demonstrated details of standard-based approach providing infrastructure of real-time 3D simulation using high-resolution satellite imagery. Proposed approach has facilitated interchange and interoperability across diverse systems.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the KOMPSAT-3 satellite development program promoted by the National Space Program funded by the Korean Government (MEST). I'd like to thank Dr. Chris Thorne of the VRshed.com and Rex Melton of the Yumetech Inc. for the collaboration throughout the period of improving Rez codebase and developing the first X3D scene of geospatial component. Appreciation is also given to Terry Norbraten and Dr. Amela Sadagic of the Naval Postgraduate School for all the help they have provided.

## REFERENCES

Autodesk, 2008. *DXF Reference v.u.23.1.01*. White Paper, Autodesk, pp.1-288.

Brutzman, D., A. Sadagic, et al., 2007. *Extensible 3D (X3D) Earth Technical Requirements Workshop Summary Report*. NPS Technical Report. Monterey, California, Naval Postgraduate School.

Butler, D., 2006. Virtual globes: The web-wide world. *Nature*, 439(7078), pp.776-778.

Crews, N., 2008. *Comparative Study of Open 3D Graphics Standards*, Autodesk, Inc.

Daly, L. and D. Brutzman, 2007. X3D: Extensible 3D Graphics Standard [Standards in a Nutshell]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 24(6), pp.130-135.

Ertac, Ö., 2008. GIS & Google Earth: An Academic View. *GEO Informatics*, 11(2), pp.10-13.

ESRI, 2003. *Spatial Data Standards and GIS Interoperability*. ESRI White Paper, ESRI, pp.1-10.

Grossner, K. E. and K. C. Clarke, 2007. Is Google Earth, "Digital Earth?" - Defining a Vision. *Proc. of 5th International Symposium on Digital Earth*, University of California at Berkeley, pp.1-10.

Kienberger, S. and D. Tiede, 2008. ArcGIS Explorer Review. *GEO Informatics*, 11(2), pp.42-47.

Kolbe, T. H., G. Gröger, et al., 2005. CityGML: Interoperable Access to 3D City Models. *Proc. of International Symposium on Geo-information for Disaster Management*, pp.883-899.

Lund, J. J. and S. Macklin, 2007. ArcGIS and Google Earth: Rules of Engagement. *Proc. of ESRI Education User Conference*, San Diego.

OGC, 2008. *OGC KML*. Retrieved 2008.10.03, from <http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/kml>.

SEDRIS, 2007. *The Source for Environmental Data Representation and Interchange*. from <http://www.sedris.org>.

Thorne, C., 2007. *Rez*. Retrieved 2008.10.03, from <http://www.rez3d.com>, <http://sourceforge.net/projects/planet-earth>.

USGS, 2007. *Spatial Data Transfer Standard (SDTS) Information Site*. Retrieved 2008.10.03, from <http://mcmweb.er.usgs.gov/sdts>.

Web3D, 2008. *Extensible 3D (X3D), ISO/IEC 19775-1:2008, 25 Geospatial Component*. Retrieved 2008.10.03, from <http://www.web3d.org/x3d/specifications/ISO-IEC-FDIS-19775-1.2-X3D-AbstractSpecification/Part01/components/geodata.html>.

Yoo, B., 2007. *Terrain Tile Production Chain*. Retrieved 2008.10.02, from <http://x3d-earth.nps.edu/docs/TerrainTileProduction/TerrainTileProductionChain.html>.