Bordered Pit Structure Observed by FE-SEM in Main Wood Species of Pinaceae Grown in Korea

Sheikh Ali Ahmed and Su Kyoung Chun
Department of Wood Science & Engineering, College of Forest Sciences,
Kangwon National University Chunchon 200-701, Republic of Korea

Introduction

In coniferous trees, water in sapwood is known to move longitudinally through the tracheid lumina, passing from one tracheid lumen to the next through the bordered pits and the same pathway is also used by preservative liquids when penetrating wood from a transverse surface (Usta 2005). Gymnosperm wood is superficially simple and composed of mostly the single celled tracheid that functions both as a conduit for water flow and for providing mechanical strength to the axis. The pit openings, tiny pores in the cell wall also play a role in the treatability especially when a polar solvent is used for the preservatives (Nicholas and Siau 1973). The size of pits opening has been measured with electron microscope (Cote and Krahmer 1962, Thomas and Nicholas 1966). The pits present in longitudinal tracheids are called bordered pit with specialized characteristics. The differentiation of torus-margo structure varies from species to species thus allowing the variation of liquid permeability. The thin and porous margo provide the passage for liquid flow. In longitudinal direction the greatest bulk fluid conduction occurs through the bordered pits of the longitudinal tracheids. Because tracheid lumina provide an unobstructed pathway for flow and its flow largely control by bordered pits of conifer wood (Petty, 1970). Hacke et al. 2004 found that the smaller the torus diameter ceased the membrane conductivity to decrease even the presence of relatively large margo area because of its greater number of margo strands required to hold the narrower torus in sealing position. More margo strands reduce the pore size and membrane conductivity. In this report the pit structures of four Pinus woods grown in Korea are described to know the structural difference among the species for better understanding the permeability difference.

Materials and Methods
Wood species used

Four kinds of wood block were taken under consideration from ① *Pinus koraiensis Sieb. et Zucc.* ② *Pinus densiflora Sieb. et Zucc.* ③ *Pinus rigida Mill and* ④ *Larix kaempferi* Carr. Wood samples were collected from Kangwon National University reserve forest at breast height. Immediately after collection, discs were made and kept in air tight cellophane bag to protect the moisture loss. *P. densiflora, P. rigida, P. koraiensis and L. kaempferi* had 27, 26, 35 and 18 number of annual rings; range of juvenile wood 1-17, 1-14, 1-19 and 1-14; range of matured wood 18-17, 15-16, 20-35 and 15-18; range of heartwood 1-6, 1-6, 1-22 and 1-13; range of sapwood 7-27, 7-26, 23-35 and 14-18 respectively. Radial sections (1cm width) of four wood species were dried under vacuum condition and coated with Pt+Pd. At different resolution and magnification, samples were observed under FE-SEM (Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope). Finally data were analyzed by statistical analysis software, SPSS (George and Mallery 2001).

Results and Discussion

Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope was used to find out the different anatomical features of pits of 4 wood species which are shown in Table 1. Margo lattice size in bordered pit is an important factor for liquid penetration in longitudinal and tangential direction which related with the capillary phenomenon.

〈Table 1〉 Different features of four wood species observed under FE-SEM.

Features	Species			
	P. densiflora	P. rigida	P. koraiensis	L. kaempferi
Torus diameter (μm)	8.19 bc	9.06 a	8.42 c	8.76 b
Margo width (μm)	4.65 b	4.94 a	4.22 c	4.56 b
Margo lattice size (nm)	342.89 c	521.79 a	351.81 c	379.99 b
Diameter of pit aperture (μm)	5.95 a	5.79 c	4.88 b	5.85 bc
Pit border width (μm)	6.84 a	7.03 a	6.24 b	6.14 b

Mean with the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.05

Torus diameter

Heartwood has higher frequency of aspirated pit compared with sapwood. A common modification of bordered pit ‑pairs is the lateral displacement of the membrane and the phenomenon is called aspiration usually occurs when sapwood is transformed in to the heartwood or when wood dries (Tsoumis 1991). Also chemical constituents in heartwood differ from sapwood. Longitudinal tracheids form a capillary tube through which liquid is conducted and diffused to other cell through pits. So, more aspirated pit results in lower

permeability. Diameter of torus was not found same for 4 wood species in longitudinal tracheid. *P. rigida* (9.06㎛) had the highest and *P. densiflora* (8.19㎛) had the lowest size of torus diameter.

<Fig. 1> Mean torus diameter and margo width of bordered pit in longitudinal tracheid of different wood species

Margo width

Through margo, liquid can pass through from one tracheid to another. Highest margo width was found in *P. rigida* (4.94㎛) and lowest in *P. koraiensis* (4.22㎛). Liquid penetrate through margo. So, margo diameter and lattice size determine the liquid penetration in longitudinal direction. Overlapping area in torus and pit border was found highest in *P. koraiensis* (37㎛²).

<Fig. 2> Pit of A: *L. kaempferi*, B: *P. densiflora*, C: *P. rigida* and D: *P. koraiensis*

Margo lattice size

In our experiment, the highest margo lattice size was found in *P. rigida* (521.79nm) and lowest in *P. densiflora* (342.89nm). Margo lattice size was directly related with torus diameter.


〈**Fig. 3**〉 Mean margo lattice size of bordered pit in longitudinal tracheid of different wood species.

〈**Fig. 4**〉 Comparison of torus diameter and margo lattice size in different wood species.

For small torus, greater number of margo strands are required for holding the torus compared with bigger one. As result, *P. rigida* has the largest margo lattice size compared with the other species. More margo strands reduced the size of margo lattice (Fig.2). Margo lattice size decreased with the aging of Pine trees which meant that it decreased from pith to bark.

Pit aperture and pit border

Highest diameter of pit aperture was found in *P. densiflora* (5.95μm) and lowest in *P. koraiensis* (4.88μm). *L. kaempferi* was higher than *P. rigida*. Another part of bordered pit is pit border. Under FE-SEM observation, highest mean width of pit border was found in *P. rigida* (7.03μm) and lowest in *L. kaempferi* (6.14μm).

⟨Fig. 5⟩ Mean pit aperture diameter and border of longitudinal tracheid in different wood species

Bolton and Petty (1975) showed that the part of the bordered pit system other than the pit margo pores contribute the total resistance to flow and that structural component was the pit aperture. Pit aperture diameter and pit border increased from pith to bark (Fig.5). Pit aperture diameter and border were increased with the increased number of annual rings.

Conclusion

For explaining the anatomical features of four pine wood species, pit structures were taken under consideration. Highest diameter of torus was found in *P. rigida* and the lowest in *P. densiflora*. Torus diameter was found responsible for the size of margo lattice. Wider torus lowered the margo lattice size and it was found the lowest in *P. densiflora* species. Margo lattice size decreased from pith to bark. Highest margo width was found in *P. rigida* and the lowest one in *P. koraiensis*. Pit aperture diameter was found highest in *P. densiflora* and lowest in *P. koraiensis*. Highest pit border was observed in *P. rigida* and lowest one in *L. kaempferi*. Pit aperture diameter and pit border increased gradually from pith to bark. These anatomical features of pit will help us for the better understanding of pits role in liquid conductivity in wood. In this regard, research work is suggested by the authors.

References

Bolton, A. J. and J. A. Petty. 1975. Structural components influencing the permeability of ponded and unponded Sitka Spruce. J. Microscopy. 104(1): 33-46.

Cote, W. A. and R. L. Krahmer. 1962. The permeability of coniferous pits demonstrated by electron microscopy. Tappi. 45(2): 119-122.

George, D., and P. Mallery. 2001. SPSS for windows step by step (3 ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Hacke, U. G., J. S. Sperry and J. Pittermann. 2004. Analysis of circular bordered pit function

II. Gymnosperm tracheid with torus-margo pit membranes. American J. Botany. 91(3): 386-400.

Nicholas, D. D. and J. F. Siau. 1973. 'Factors influencing treatability.' in Wood Deterioration and Its Preservation by Preservative Treatments, Vol II. Preservatives and Preservative Systems. Editor D. D. Nicholas, Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, NY.

Petty, J. A. 1970. The relation of wood structure to preservative treatment. In: The Wood WeGrow, (ed. by The Society of Forest Britain). Oxford University. Press. 29-35pp.

Tsoumis, G. T. 1991. Science and Technology of Wood: Structure, Properties, Utilization. Van Nostrand Reinhold, NY. 494pp.

Usta, I. 2005. A review of the configuration of bordered pits to stimulate the fluid flow. Maderas. Ciencia Y Tecnologĭa. 7(2):121-132.