밀, 호밀, 보리의 사초 영양 비교 연구

권병선 순천대학교 식물생산과학부 자원식물개발학과

Study on Nutrient Quality among Wheat, Barley and Rye for Forage

Byung Sun Kwon
Sunchon National University, Sunchon 540-742, Korea

연구 목적

남부 지방에서 밀, 호밀, 보리의 사초 영양을 비교 검토코자 시험하였다.

재료 및 방법

가. 공시품종 : 밀 - Urimil, Geurumil

호밀 - Paldanghomil, Chilbohomil

보리 - Olbori, Gangbori

나. 파종기 : 10월 20일, 파종량 : 15 kg/10a

다. 시비량(kg/10a) : N-P₂O₅-K₂O = 12-10-8

라. 시험구배치법 : 분할구배치 3반복

마. 수량조사 및 영양분석 : 성적 참조

결과 및 고찰

To select the most suitable crop and variety of forage for nutrient quality at the southern part of Korea, the crops of wheat, barley and rye were grown from Oct. 1999 to June 2000. Paldanghomil variety of rye crop was shown to have the highest chemical components in comparison to other varieties of crops used in this experiment.

It showed relatively high content of crude protein and *in virto* dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and low content of NDF, ADF, cellulose and lignin. Therefore, it was concluded that Paldanghomil of rye crop was the most suitable variety with high weight and high nutrient quality for forage in the southern part of Korea. The heritabilities of all nutrient quality characters were estimated to be high.

Table 1. Genotypic variances (σ^2G), environmental variances (σ^2E), heritability(h^2) and analysis of variance in IVDMD and DDMW of crops.

Characters	σ ² G	σ²E	h^2	Varinace	
				Variety	Error
IVDMD(%)	5.17	0,86	84.29	6.070**	0.891
DDMW(%)	201.33	78.78	70.74	680.43**	78,780

Table 2. The chemical components of varieties in wheat, barley and rye.

	Variety -	Chemical components of dry matter weight(%)						
Crop		СР	NDF	ADF	Hemicellulose (NDF_ADF)	Cellulose	Lignin	
7771+	Urimil	18,32	30.46	19.49	10.97	16.47	2.92	
Wheat	Geurumil	17.54	32.16	20.21	11.95	17.35	2,98	
Dantas	Olbori	14.26	33.61	21.10	12.51	18.15	3.13	
Barley	Gangbori	14.13	34.54	22,24	12.30	18.50	3.24	
Rye	Paldanghomil	19.55	28,16	17.44	9.72	15.63	2.31	
	Chilbohomil	18,33	29,36	18.46	9.90	16.26	2.62	

Table 3. Genotypic variances (σ^2G), environmental variances (σ^2E), heritability(h^2), and of analysis of variance in chemical components crop.

Nutrient	σ ² G	$\sigma^2 E$	h^2	Variance	
		OE	11	Variety	Error
CP	8.92	1.21	89.14	32.58**	1.30
NDF	5.72	0.71	87.51	21.62**	0.812
ADF	5.13	0.37	91.73	17.89**	0.341
Hemicellulose (NDF-ADF)	4.17	0.01	98.14	4.13**-	0.003
Cellulose	5.47	0.34	92.16	18.88**	0.343
Lignin	0.08	0.01	91.73	0.30**	0.006

* ; significance at 1%

significance at 5%

Table 4. The *in vitro* dry matter yield digestibility and digestible dry matter weight of varieties in wheat, barley and rye.

Crop	Variety	IVDMD(%)	DDMW(g/plant)
Wheat	Urımıl	72.11	771.2
wileat	Geurumil	71.04	764.8
Darlor	Olbori	70.24	728.4
Barley	Gangbori	70.13	721.2
Rye	Paldanghomil	74.30	834.0
Tye	Chilbohomil	73.27	790.1