

Substrate temperature dependence of structural and magnetic properties of $\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x$ thin films on GaAs(100) using molecular beam epitaxy

Younghun Hwang¹, Jeongyong Choi¹, Soon Cheol Hong¹, Sunglae Cho¹, Sungyoul Choi², Hyun-Min Park³, Kyu-Won Lee³, and Yongsup Park³

¹Department of Physics, University of Ulsan, Mugeo-2dong, Nam-gu, Ulsan 680-749, South Korea.

²Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute, Taejeon, 305-700, South Korea

³Materials Evaluation Center, Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, Taejeon 305-600, South Korea

FeMn thin films have been widely used as a pinning layer in a spin-valve type magnetic sensors and magnetic data storage [1]. $\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ alloys have various structural phases such as α , γ , α -Mn, β -Mn, and ε . The crystal structure and lattice constants of $\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ alloys strongly depend on the alloy composition, x [2]. For Fe composition, $x < 0.2$, $\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ alloys are the bcc α -phase ($a = 2.89 \text{ \AA}$) which is ferromagnetic at room temperature [3], and for $0.2 < x < 0.6$ the fcc γ -phase ($a = 3.63 \text{ \AA}$) which is antiferromagnetic with $T_N = 520 \sim 540 \text{ K}$ [4]. In this study, we have investigated the structural and magnetic properties of $\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ thin films grown on GaAs(100) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). We have obtained the γ - and α -Mn phase $\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ thin films at room temperature and 300°C growth temperatures, respectively. The evolution of the crystal structure from the α -phase to the γ -phase characterized by X-ray diffraction patterns (XRD) will be discussed in detail. From the magnetization measurements of the $\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ alloys, the γ - and α -Mn phase $\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x}$ thin films showed antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic ordering at room temperature, respectively.

[1] J. Nogués and I. K. Schuller, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 192, 203 (1999).

[2] Y. Endoh and Y. Ishikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 30, 1614 (1971).

[3] C. Paduani and E. G. da Silva, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 161, 184 (1996).

[4] W. Williams, Jr. and J. L. Stanford, Phys. Rev. B 7, 3244 (1973).