Least Squares Based PID Control of an Electromagnetic Suspension System

Yonmook Park^{*}, Myeong-Ryong Nam[†], In-Ho Seo[†], Sang-Hyun Lee[†], Jong-Tae Lim[‡], and Min-Jea Tahk^{*}

*Div. of Aerospace Eng., Dept. of Mechanical Eng.

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

373-1 Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Korea

(Tel: +82-42-869-3718; E-mail: {ympark, mjtahk}@fdcl.kaist.ac.kr)

[†]Satellite Technology Research Center

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

373-1 Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Korea

(Tel: +82-42-869-8649; E-mail: {nam, inho, shlee}@satrec.kaist.ac.kr)

[‡]Div. of Electrical Eng., Dept. of Electrical Eng. & Computer Science

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

373-1 Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Korea

(Tel: +82-42-869-3441; E-mail: jtlim@ee.kaist.ac.kr)

Abstract: In this paper, we develop the so-called functional test model for magnetic bearing reaction wheels. The functional test model has three degree of freedom, which consists of one axial suspension from gravity and the other two axes gimbaling capability to small angle, and does not include the motor. For the control of the functional test model, we derive the optimal electromagnetic forces based on the least squares method, and use the proportional-integral-derivative controller. Then, we develop a hardware setup, which mainly consists of the digital signal processor and the 12-bit analog-to-digital and digital-to-analog converters, and show the experimental results.

Keywords: Magnetic bearing reaction wheels, Spacecraft, Least squares method, Proportional-integral-derivative controller

1. Introduction

In general, spacecraft in orbit is equipped with reaction or momentum wheels serving as actuators in the attitude control system. Until now, ball bearing wheels have been dominantly used in that application. However, among the various kinds of onboard system components, ball bearing wheels have been identified as one of the main sources of vibration noise due to the wear as a result of mechanical contact of rotor and stator, residual unbalances, and bearing imperfections [1].

These disadvantages can be avoided by using magnetic bearing wheels. Compared with ball bearing wheels, magnetic bearing wheels can suspend a rotor by use of the magnetic or electromagnetic force, by which the high rotational speed of rotor, no contact, and no lubrication can be possible. Moreover, if the wheel provides a vernier gimbaling capability, the three-axis attitude control can be possible with only a single wheel [2]. Magnetic bearing wheels should be ideally suited to exhibit very low noise figures since they have no mechanical contact between stator and rotor. However, there are several sources of disturbing forces in magnetic bearing wheels. Some of these sources come from an unfavorable design, and some others are of principle nature but can be overcome by adopting various kinds of control means (e.g. [3]–[10]).

In this paper, we develop the so-called functional test model (FTM) in order to obtain the basic electromagnetic suspension technique of magnetic bearing wheels. In the FTM, the active control of three degree of freedom (DOF), which consists of one axial suspension from gravity and the other two axes gimbaling capability to small angle, is possible but the motor is not included.

For the control of the FTM, we utilize the least squares method in order to optimize the electromagnetic forces, and employ the proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller. Especially, we develop a hardware setup using the digital signal processor, and show the experimental results for the FTM.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the mathematical modeling of the FTM is given. Also, based on the least squares method, we derive the optimal electromagnetic forces of the FTM. In Section III, the mechanical structure of the FTM and the electronics for the FTM are described. In Section IV, the PID controller is used to control the FTM, and the experimental results are given. Finally, Section V summarizes the conclusion, and presents an outlook on further research.

2. Modeling of Functional Test Model

2.1. Dynamics

Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the functional test model (FTM) for magnetic bearing reaction wheels developed in this paper, where S_1 – S_4 are four gap sensors and E_1 – E_4 are four pairs of electromagnets.

The FTM has three degree of freedom (DOF) which consists of the Z axis suspension from gravity and the X



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the functional test model.

and Y axes gimbaling capability to small angle. Then, the dynamics of the FTM become

$$m\ddot{z} = f_z, \quad I\ddot{\phi} = T_x, \quad I\ddot{\theta} = T_y,$$
 (1)

where *m* is the mass of the rotor, *I* is the inertia of the rotor for the *X* and *Y* axes, *z* is the axial displacement of the rotor, ϕ is the rotational angle of the *X* axis, θ is the rotational angle of the *Y* axis. Moreover, f_z is the electromagnetic force of the *Z* axis, and T_x and T_y are the torques of the *X* and *Y* axes, respectively.

If we consider four electromagnets E_1-E_4 shown in Fig. 1, the f_z , T_x , and T_y in (1) can be represented by

$$f_z = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 - mg,$$

$$T_x = W_e(f_2 - f_4),$$

$$T_y = W_e(f_3 - f_1),$$
(2)

respectively, where f_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are the electromagnetic forces of each electromagnet, W_e is the length from the center of the rotor to the electromagnet, g is the acceleration of gravity.

2.2. Kinematics

Under the assumption that the angle displacements of the rotor are very small, the gap sensor measurement from the i^{th} gap sensor to the rotor, denoted by l_{s_i} , are given as follows.

$$l_{s_{1}} = L_{s_{1}} + \delta L_{s_{1}} \cong L_{s_{1}} - z + W_{s}\theta - W_{s}\phi, l_{s_{2}} = L_{s_{2}} + \delta L_{s_{2}} \cong L_{s_{2}} - z - W_{s}\theta - W_{s}\phi, l_{s_{3}} = L_{s_{3}} + \delta L_{s_{3}} \cong L_{s_{3}} - z - W_{s}\theta + W_{s}\phi, l_{s_{4}} = L_{s_{4}} + \delta L_{s_{4}} \cong L_{s_{4}} - z + W_{s}\theta + W_{s}\phi,$$
(3)

where L_{s_i} , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are the displacements from each gap sensor to the rotor at the equilibrium state, δL_{s_i} ,

 $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are the perturbation terms of each L_{s_i} , and W_s is the length from the center of the rotor to the gap sensor.

Then, from (3), the z, ϕ , and θ can be calculated as follows.

$$z = \frac{1}{4} \left[(L_{s_1} + L_{s_2} + L_{s_3} + L_{s_4}) - (l_{s_1} + l_{s_2} + l_{s_3} + l_{s_4}) \right],$$
(4)

$$\phi = \frac{1}{4W_s} \left[(l_{s_3} + l_{s_4} - l_{s_1} - l_{s_2}) - (L_{s_3} + L_{s_4} - L_{s_1} - L_{s_2}) \right],$$
(5)

$$\theta = \frac{1}{4W_s} \left[(l_{s_1} + l_{s_4} - l_{s_2} - l_{s_3}) - (L_{s_1} + L_{s_4} - L_{s_2} - L_{s_3}) \right].$$
(6)

Also, the displacement from the i^{th} electromagnet to the rotor, denoted by l_{e_i} , are given by

$$l_{e_{1}} = L_{e_{1}} + \delta L_{e_{1}} \cong L_{e_{1}} - z + W_{e}\theta,$$

$$l_{e_{2}} = L_{e_{2}} + \delta L_{e_{2}} \cong L_{e_{2}} - z - W_{e}\phi,$$

$$l_{e_{3}} = L_{e_{3}} + \delta L_{e_{3}} \cong L_{e_{3}} - z - W_{e}\theta,$$

$$l_{e_{4}} = L_{e_{4}} + \delta L_{e_{4}} \cong L_{e_{4}} - z + W_{e}\phi,$$
(7)

where L_{e_i} , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are the displacements from each electromagnet to the rotor at the equilibrium state, δL_{e_i} , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are the perturbation terms of each L_{e_i} .

2.3. Electromagnetic Forces

Note that equation (2) can be written by

$$Ef = b, (8)$$

where

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & W_e & 0 & -W_e \\ -W_e & 0 & W_e & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} f_z + mg \\ T_x \\ T_y \end{bmatrix}, f = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (9)

As shown in (8), after designing the three control inputs of f_z , T_x , and T_y , we must determine the four electromagnetic forces f_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ in order to apply the designed control inputs to the FTM. In this case, equation (8) has infinitely many solutions for the four electromagnetic forces since it is underdetermined with three equations in four unknowns f_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

Among many solutions to the above problem, we take a meaningful thing by considering the least squares problem. Before giving a meaningful solution, we define the singular value decomposition.

Definition 1 [11]: Let $A \in \Re^{m \times n}$ have the rank of r < n. Then, the singular value decomposition of A is given by

$$U\Sigma V^{T}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} U_{1} & U_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{1} & 0_{r \times (n-r)} \\ 0_{(m-r) \times r} & 0_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{1}^{T} \\ V_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= U_{1}\Sigma_{1}V_{1}^{T},$$
(10)

where $U \triangleq [U_1 \ U_2] \in \Re^{m \times m}$ and $V \triangleq [V_1 \ V_2] \in \Re^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal matrices, and $U_1 \in \Re^{m \times r}$, $U_2 \in \Re^{m \times (m-r)}$, $V_1 \in \Re^{n \times r}$, $V_2 \in \Re^{n \times (n-r)}$. Moreover, $\Sigma_1 \in \Re^{r \times r}$ is a diagonal matrix given by

$$\Sigma_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{3} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{r} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

with the entries satisfying

$$\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_r > 0, \tag{12}$$

and $0_{a \times b}$ denotes the $a \times b$ zero matrix.

Then, we show that the singular value decomposition provides the key to solve the least squares problem for designing the optimal electromagnetic forces.

Theorem 1: For equation (8), let the singular value decomposition of E be $U\Sigma V^T$ and define

$$E^+ \triangleq V \Sigma^+ U^T. \tag{13}$$

Then, the following electromagnetic force vector

$$f = E^{+}b = V\Sigma^{+}U^{T}b$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}(f_{z} + mg) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{T_{y}}{W_{e}} \\ \frac{1}{4}(f_{z} + mg) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{T_{x}}{W_{e}} \\ \frac{1}{4}(f_{z} + mg) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{T_{y}}{W_{e}} \\ \frac{1}{4}(f_{z} + mg) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{T_{x}}{W_{e}} \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3} \\ f_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

is the optimal solution which minimizes the performance index

$$\mathcal{J} = \|Ef - b\|,\tag{15}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

Proof: The proof can be derived easily using Definition 1, and is omitted.

In practice, the optimal electromagnetic forces (14) are made by currents. Thus, we must generate currents in the coils which wind each electromagnet. By applying the Maxwell's equation to the electromagnet of the FTM, we can obtain the following equation for the coil currents of each electromagnet:

$$i_i = \frac{l_{e_i}}{n} \sqrt{\frac{8f_i}{\mu_0 G}}, \ i = 1, \cdots, 4,$$
 (16)

where i_i is the coil current of the i^{th} electromagnet, n is the number of coil turns, μ_0 is the magnetic permeability in the air, G is the cross area of the electromagnet, and l_{e_i} and f_i are given in (7) and (14), respectively.

Mechanical Structure and Control Electronics Mechanical Structure

Based on the requirements of the angular momentum and reaction torque in a small satellite, the major mechanical specifications of the FTM is described in Table 1. Since the motor is not included in design of the FTM, the specification about the rotation is excluded.

Test Model.			
Item	Value	Unit	

Mechanical Specifications of the Functional

Table 1.

Item	Value	Unit
Active control axis	3	DOF
Dimension	ϕ 140 × 100	mm
Mass of rotor	1	kg
Nominal gap	0.8	$\rm mm$
Gimbaling angle	+/- 0.12	deg
Gap sensor	4	number
Electromagnet	4	pair
Number of coil turn	240	turn



Fig. 2. Perspective view of the functional test model.

Then, Fig. 2 shows the perspective view of the FTM, in which the base and cover are equivalent to the stator and support the rotor. Next, Fig. 3 shows the four pairs of electromagnets as well as the four gap sensors, which are attached on the lower surface of the cover in order to generate the electromagnetic forces and measure the suspension displacement of the rotor, respectively. Note that the AEC-5505 model of eddy current-typed gap sensor from Applied Electronics Co., Ltd. is used in the FTM.

Also, Fig. 4 shows the electromagnetic field analysis of the SUS 410 ferromagnetic material, made by stainless steel, used in the FTM. In Fig. 4, the electromagnetic field is formed closely around the electromagnet. Therefore, the interference of the electromagnetic field between the neighboring electromagnets is very small, which is a desirable phenomenon for the control of the electromagnetic force of each electromagnet.



Fig. 3. Four pairs of electromagnets and four gap sensors of the functional test model.



Fig. 4. Electromagnetic field analysis of the functional test model.

3.2. Control Electronics

For the electronic control of the FTM, we develop an control electronics which mainly consists of the 32-bit floating-point digital signal processor (DSP) of TMS320C32 from Texas Instruments, the 12-bit analogto-digital converter (ADC), and the digital-to-analog converter (DAC). Note that the DSP is used for fast calculation. Also, the 12-bit ADC and DAC are used to read the output from the gap sensor and to make the electromagnetic forces, respectively. Fig. 5 shows the digital electronics using DSP.

Also, Fig. 6 shows the power module for the current control of the FTM, which converts the output voltage of the DAC to a suitable current and transmits the current into the coil of the electromagnet. The power module is linear-typed, and the maximum output current can be adjustable.



Fig. 5. Digital Electronics using digital signal processor.



Fig. 6. Power module for the current control.

4. Experiment Results

4.1. Control Scheme

In order to control the three DOFs of magnetic levitation of the FTM, we use a proportional-integralderivative (PID) controller since it is easy to implement with a practical usefulness.

The PID controller for the FTM takes the following form:

$$u = K_P e + K_D \frac{de}{dt} + K_I \int_0^t e(\tau) d\tau, \qquad (17)$$

where $u \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} f_z & T_x & T_y \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the control inputs of the FTM, $e \triangleq x_{\text{ref}} - x$ is the error between $x \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} z & \phi & \theta \end{bmatrix}^T$ and its reference x_{ref} . Moreover, $K_P = K_P^T > 0$, $K_I = K_I^T > 0$, $K_D = K_D^T > 0$ are the 3 × 3 positive definite gain matrices of the PID controller.

Fig. 7 shows the control system configuration of the FTM with the PID controller (17). The control system consists of the four stages. Specifically, in the first stage, after detecting the displacement of the rotor from each gap sensor, we calculate the z, ϕ , and θ given in (4)–(6), respectively. Next, in the second stage, the PID controller (17) produces the f_z , T_x , and T_y . Then, in the third stage, we obtain the electromagnetic forces f_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ by equation (14). Finally, in the fourth state, we calculate the control currents of the electromagnets by

equation (16).



Fig. 7. Control system configuration of the functional test model.

4.2. Experiment and analysis

Fig. 8 shows the hardware setup for the FTM developed in this paper. With this setup, we obtain experimental results.



Fig. 8. Hardware setup for the functional test model.

The control objective is to levitate the rotor at 0.3mm from the base of the FTM, and regulate the ϕ and θ to zeros. Thus, the x_{ref} in (17) is set to be $x_{\text{ref}} = [z_{\text{ref}} \ \phi_{\text{ref}} \ \theta_{\text{ref}}]^T = [0.3\text{mm} \ 0\text{deg} \ 0\text{deg}]^T$. Note that the control algorithm is implemented by C language with a sampling rate of 0.001sec.

Among some candidates of the PID control gains which can provide the PID controller achieving the control objective, the following gains are chosen in the experiment.

$$K_P = \text{diag}[1000, 20, 20],$$

$$K_I = \text{diag}[5000, 8.33, 8.33],$$

$$K_D = \text{diag}[100, 0.013, 0.013],$$

(18)

where diag implies the diagonal matrix.

Then, the responses of the FTM and the control currents obtained by the experiment are shown in Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. As shown in Fig. 9, the PID controller stabilizes the state of the FTM. Moreover, against the external disturbances imposed by a hand at 20 seconds, the recovery time of the state responses to the reference command is somewhat fast. Also, Fig. 10 shows that the magnitudes of each control current are almost uniform, which mainly comes from the use of the least squares method in design of the electromagnetic forces.

Note that the state histories obtained in the experiment show very high frequency noises since the design considered in this paper does not include a filter. Thus, future work may include a filter design which can provide a good quality of the measurement. Also, based on the technology developed in this paper, the development of the active magnetic bearing system with an active vibration suppression (e.g. [12] and [13]) will be proceed.



Fig. 9. Responses of the functional test model.



Fig. 10. Control currents of the functional test model.

5. Conclusion

We have developed an electromagnetic suspension system for magnetic bearing reaction wheels. For the control of the electromagnetic suspension system, the proportional-integral-derivative controller has been employed based on the least squares method. Then, we developed a hardware setup which mainly consists of the digital signal processor and 12-bit analog-to-digital and digital-to-analog converters, and obtain the satisfactory experimental results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was sponsored by the MOST of Korea through the STSAT-2 project. The authors gratefully thank to Space Solution Co., Ltd. for the contribution in the prototype development.

References

- U. J. Bichler, "A double gimbaled magnetic bearing momentum wheel for high pointing accuracy and vibration sensitive space applications," in *Proc. 1st ESA Int. Conf. on Spacecraft Guidance, Navigation* and Contr. Syst., Noordwijk, Netherlands, June 1991, pp. 393–398.
- [2] Y. Horiuchi, M. Inoue, N. Sato, T. Hashimoto, K. Ninomiya, "Development of magnetic bearing momentum wheel for ultra-precision spacecraft attitude control," in *Proc. 7th Int. Symp. on Magn. Bearings*, Zurich, Switzerland, Aug. 2000, pp. 525–530.
- [3] F. Matsumura, T. Namerikawa, K. Hagiwara, and M. Fujita, "Application of gain scheduled H_∞ robust controllers to a magnetic bearing," *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 484–493, 1996.
- [4] K. Nonami and T. Ito, "μ synthesis of flexible rotormagnetic bearing systems," *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 503–512, 1996.
- [5] M. S. de Queiroz and D. M. Dawson, "Nonlinear control of active magnetic bearings: A backstepping approach," *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 545–552, 1996.
- [6] M.-R. Nam, T. Hashimoto, and K. Ninomiya, "Design of H_∞ attitude controllers for spacecraft using a magnetically suspended momentum wheel," *Eur. J. Contr.*, vol. 3, pp. 114–124, 1997.
- [7] M.-R. Nam, T. Hashimoto, and K. Ninomiya, "Control system design to cope with non-linearities of a magnetically suspended momentum wheel for satellites," in *Proc. 1st Int. Conf. on Non-linear Problems* in Aviation and Aerospace, Florida, USA, May 1996, pp. 513–517.
- [8] M.-R. Nam, T. Hashimoto, and K. Ninomiya, "Combined application of H_∞ and sliding mode theories to attitude control of a satellite," in *Proc. 2nd Asian Contr. Conf.*, Seoul, Korea, July 1997, pp. 827–830.
- [9] D. L. Trumper, S. M. Olson, and P. K. Subrahmanyan, "Linearizing control of magnetic suspension systems," *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 427–438, 1997.
- [10] S.-K. Hong and R. Langari, "Robust fuzzy control of a magnetic bearing system subject to harmonic disturbances," *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 366–371, 2000.
- [11] S. J. Leon, *Linear Algebra with Applications*, Singapore: Prentice-Hall, 1995.
- [12] U. J. Bichler, "A low noise magnetic bearing wheel for space application," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. Magn. Bearings*, Tokyo, Japan, July 1990, pp. 1–8.

[13] R. Herzog, P. Bühler, C. Gähler, and R. Larsonneur, "Unbalance compensation using generalized notch filters in the multivariable feedback of magnetic bearings," *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 580–586, 1996.