Parametric Inference in an Exponential Distribution

Changsoo Lee¹⁾, Joongdae Kim²⁾

Abstract

Inference for probability $P(Y \mid X)$ in two-parameter exponential distribution when the scale parameters are known or not will be considered.

1. Introduction

A two-parameter exponential distribution is given by

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)/\mu}, \quad x > \mu, \text{ where } \sigma > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (1.1)

it will be denoted $X \sim \text{EXP}(\mu, \sigma)$.

It is very important for us to consider an exponential distribution in parametric inferences. Here we shall consider inference for $P(Y \mid X)$ in two parameter exponential distribution.

The probability that a Weibull random variable Y is less than another independent Weibull random variable X was considered(McCool(1991)). Many other authors have considered the probability $P(Y \mid X)$, where X and Y are independent random variables.

The problem of estimating and of drawing inferences about, the probability that a random variable Y is less than an independent random variable X, arises in a reliability.

When Y represents the random value of a stress that a device will be subjected to in service and X represents the strength that varies from item to item in the population of devices, then the reliability R, i.e. the probability that a randomly selected device functions successfully, is equal to $P(Y \leqslant X)$. The same problem also arises in the context of statistical tolerance where represents, say, Y the diameter of a draft and X the diameter of a bearing that is to be mounted on the shaft. The probability that the bearing fits without interference is then $P(Y \leqslant X)$.

In biometrics, Y represents a patient's remaining years of life if treated with drug A and X represents the patient's remaining years when treated with drug B. If the choice of drug is left to the patient, person's deliberations will center on whether $P(Y \subseteq X)$ is less

¹Department of Multimedia Engineering, Kyungwoon University, Kumi, 730-850, Korea. e-mail: cslee@ikw.ac.kr

²Department of Computer Information, Andong Junior College, Andong, 760-300, Korea. e-mail: idkim@andong-c.ac.kr

than or greater than 1/2.

Here, we shall consider inferences on $P(Y \mid X)$ in two parameter exponential distribution when the scale parameters are known or not: point and interval estimations, and test a null hypothesis.

2. Inference on P(X < Y)

Let X and Y be independent two-parameter exponential random variables, $X \sim \text{EXP}$ (μ_x , σ_x) and $Y \sim \text{EXP}$ (μ_y , σ_y), respectively.

Then,
$$P(X < Y) = \int \int_{\mu_{y} < y < x} f_{Y}(y; \mu_{y}, \sigma_{y}) \cdot f_{X}(x; \mu_{x}, \sigma_{x}) dx$$
$$= 1 - \frac{e^{\delta/\sigma_{y}}}{1 + \sigma_{x}/\sigma_{y}}, \quad \text{where } \delta = \mu_{y} - \mu_{x}. \tag{2.1}$$

where $f_X(x)$ and $f_Y(y)$ are the density functions of X and Y, respectively.

To consider inferences on $P(X \land Y)$, assume X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be two independent random samples from $X \sim \text{EXP}(\mu_x, \sigma_x)$ and $Y \sim \text{EXP}(\mu_y, \sigma_y)$, respectively.

Then the MLE δ of δ is

$$\widehat{\delta} = \widehat{\mu_y} - \widehat{\mu_x} = Y_{(1)} - X_{(1)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $X_{(1)}$ and $Y_{(1)}$ are the first order statistics of X_i 's and Y_i 's , respectively.

By the result of Johnson, etal. (1995),

Fact 1. (a) $X_{(1)}$ follows an exponential distribution with a location parameter μ_x and a scale parameter σ_x/m .

- (b) If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m are iid exponential distributions with a scale parameter σ and a location parameter μ , then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} (X_i X_{(1)})$ follows a gamma distribution with a shape parameter m-1 and a scale parameter σ .
- (c) If a random variable X follows a gamma distribution with a shape parameter α and a scale parameter σ , then $E(\frac{1}{X^k}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^k}$, for $\alpha > k$.

From Fact 1(a), we can obtain the expectation and variance of δ :

$$E(\delta) = \delta + \frac{\sigma_y}{n} - \frac{\sigma_x}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad Var(\delta) = \frac{\sigma_x^2}{m^2} + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{n^2}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $D \equiv Y_{(1)} - X_{(1)}$. Then we can obtain the density function of D:

$$f_D(d) = \begin{cases} \frac{mn}{n\sigma_x + m\sigma_y} e^{-\frac{n}{\sigma_y}(d-\delta)}, & \text{if } d \ge \delta \\ \frac{mn}{n\sigma_x + m\sigma_y} e^{-\frac{m}{\sigma_x}(\delta-d)}, & \text{if } d < \delta \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

2-A. When the scale parameters $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma_0$ is known

From the result (2.1),

$$R = P(X \langle Y) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{\delta/\sigma_0}, \quad \delta = \mu_y - \mu_x.$$

Then the probability depends on δ only, Because R is a monotone function in δ , inference on δ is equivalent to inference on R. We hereafter confine attention to the parameter δ (see McCool(1991)).

When the scale parameters $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma_0$ is known, let $T = D - \delta$. Then from the pdf (2.4) of D, we have the pdf of T:

$$f_{T}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{m+n} \cdot \frac{n}{\sigma_{0}} e^{\frac{-n}{\sigma_{0}}t}, & \text{if } t \ge 0\\ \frac{n}{m+n} \cdot \frac{m}{\sigma_{0}} e^{\frac{m}{\sigma_{0}}t}, & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.5)$$

Based on a pivotal quantity T, we shall consider an $(1-p_1-p_2)100\%$ confidence interval of δ .

For a given $0 < p_1 < 1$, there exists an b_1 such that $p_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{b_1} \frac{n}{m+n} \cdot \frac{m}{\sigma_0} e^{\frac{m}{\sigma_n}t} dt$, and

hence
$$b_1 = -\frac{\sigma_0}{2m} \cdot \chi^2_{2, \frac{m+n}{n} \rho_1},$$
 (2.6)

where $\alpha \equiv \int_{\chi_{2,q}^2}^{\infty} \chi_2^2(t) dt$, $\chi_2^2(t)$ is the pdf of chi-square distribution of df 2.

For another given $0 < p_2 < 1$, there exists an b_2 such that $p_2 = \int_{b_2}^{\infty} \frac{m}{m+n} \cdot \frac{n}{\sigma_0} e^{-\frac{n}{\sigma_0}t} dt$, and

hence
$$b_2 = \frac{\sigma_0}{2n} \cdot \chi^2_{2, \frac{m+n}{m} p_2}$$
 (2.7)

Therefore, $(Y_{(1)}-X_{(1)}-b_2, Y_{(1)}-X_{(1)}-b_1)$ is an $(1-p_1-p_2)100\%$ confidence interval of δ .

Next We wish to test the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_x = \mu_y$ against $H_1: \mu_x \neq \mu_y$. Let $\Theta = \{(\mu_x \ , \mu_y) \mid \mu_x \in R \ , \ \mu_y \in R \}$ and $\theta = (\mu_x \ , \mu_y)$. Then the joint pdf of $(X_1, \cdots, X_m, Y_1, \cdots, Y_n)$ is

Parametric Inference in an Exponential Distribution

$$L(\theta) = f_{\theta}(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}}(x_{i} - \mu_{s})} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}}(y_{i} - \mu_{s})}, \text{ for all } x_{i} \rangle \mu_{x}, y_{i} \rangle \mu_{y}.$$

From the likelihood function, we can obtain the MLE's of μ_x and μ_y ,

$$\widehat{\mu_{\mathbf{r}}} = X_{(1)}$$
 and $\widehat{\mu_{\mathbf{v}}} = Y_{(1)}$.

If $\mu_x = \mu_y = \mu$, then the MLE of μ is

$$\hat{\mu} = \min(X_{(1)}, Y_{(1)}) = (Y_{(1)} + X_{(1)} - |Y_{(1)} - X_{(1)}|)/2$$
.

From definition of a likelihood ratio test(Rohatgi(1976)), the likelihood ratio test function can be obtained:

$$\lambda(x, y) = \exp(- |D| (\frac{m}{2\sigma_0} + \frac{n}{2\sigma_0}) + D(\frac{m}{2\sigma_0} - \frac{n}{2\sigma_0})), \text{ where } D = Y_{(1)} - X_{(1)}.$$

Therefore, $\lambda(x,y) \leqslant c$ is equivalent to $D \leqslant b_1$ or $D \geqslant b_2$. (2.8) Under $H_0: \mu_x = \mu_y$, i.e. $\delta = 0$, we hold $T = D - \delta = D$, and hence, for given $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ we can find b_1 and b_2 of (2.8), through the results (2.6) and (2.7) if $p_1 = p_2 = \alpha/2$.

2-B. When the scale parameters $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$ is unknown

First we wish to know whether two scale parameters are equal or not: To test the null hypothesis $H_0: \sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$ against $H_1: \sigma_x \neq \sigma_y, \ \mu_x \in R, \ \mu_y \in R$ Let $\Theta = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \mu_x, \mu_y\} \mid \sigma_x \geq 0, \ \sigma_y \geq 0, \ \mu_x \in R, \ \mu_y \in R\}$ and $\theta = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \mu_x, \mu_y)$. Then the joint pdf of $(X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is

$$L(\theta) = f_{\theta}(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sigma_{x}} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma_{x}}(x_{i} - \mu_{x})} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma_{y}} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma_{y}}(y_{i} - \mu_{y})}, \text{ for all } x_{i} \rangle \mu_{x}, y_{i} \rangle \mu_{y}.$$

Differentiating with respect to σ_x and σ_y , we can obtain the MLE's

$$\widehat{\sigma_x} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$$
, $\widehat{\sigma_y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, and $\widehat{\mu_x} = X_{(1)}$ and $\widehat{\mu_y} = Y_{(1)}$.

If $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$, then the MLE of σ is

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{n+m} (\sum_{i=1}^{m} (X_i - \widehat{\mu_x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \widehat{\mu_y})). \tag{2.9}$$

From definition of a likelihood ratio test(Rohatgi(1976)), the likelihood ratio test function can be obtained:

(2.10)

$$\lambda(x,y) = (\frac{\widehat{\sigma_x}}{\widehat{\sigma}})^m \cdot (\frac{\widehat{\sigma_y}}{\widehat{\sigma}})^n = (\frac{m+n}{m})^m \cdot (\frac{m+n}{n})^n \cdot (\frac{1}{1+1/U})^m \cdot (\frac{1}{1+U})^n,$$

where
$$U = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (X_i - X_{(1)})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - Y_{(1)})}$$
.

Therefore, $\lambda(x,y) \langle c \text{ is equivalent to } U \langle u_1 \text{ or } U \rangle u_2$.

From Fact 1(b) and the results of Rohatgi(1976), we have the followings;

Fact 2. (a)
$$Z = \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^{m}(X_i - X_{(1)})}{\sigma_x}$$
 and $W = \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^{m}(Y_i - Y_{(1)})}{\sigma_y}$ follows chi-square

distribution with df's 2(m-1) and 2(n-1), respectively.

(b) The random variables Z and W are independent.

Under
$$H_0: \sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$$
, from Fact 2, $U \equiv \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - X_{(1)})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - Y_{(1)})}$ follows a F-distribution with

df's 2(m-1) and 2(n-1). And hence, for a given $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$u_2 = F_{\alpha/2}(2(m-1), 2(n-1))$$
 and $u_1 = 1/F_{\alpha/2}(2(n-1), 2(m-1))$, from (2.10).

If $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$, then from the result(2.1),

$$R = P(X \langle Y) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{\delta/\sigma}$$
, where $\delta = \mu_y - \mu_x$

Let $\beta \equiv \delta/\sigma$. Then, an estimator of β is defined as:

$$\hat{\beta} = \hat{\delta}/\hat{\sigma} = \frac{(m+n)(Y_{(1)} - X_{(1)})}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (X_i - X_{(1)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - Y_{(1)})}, \text{ from results (2.2) and (2.9)}.$$

From the results (2.3) and Fact 1(c), we can obtain the followings:

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta + \frac{3}{m+n-3}\beta + \frac{m^2 - n^2}{mn(m+n-3)}$$

and
$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{(m+n)^2 (m^2 + n^2)}{m^2 n^2 (m+n-3)^2 (m+n-4)}$$
.

References

- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S. and Balakrishnan, N.(1995), *Continuous Univariate Distributions-2*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- McCool, J.I.(1991), Inference on P(X<Y) in the Weibull Case, Communications in Statistics Simulations, 20(1), 129-148.
- Rohatgi, V.K.(1976), An Introduction to Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, New York.