Possible Residual Effects of GA₃ and Gibberellin Biosynthesis Inhibitors on Sprouting, Early Bulbil Formation and Tuber Yield in Chinese Yam

Sang-Kuk Kim¹, Sang-Chul Lee², Bong-Ho Lee¹ and In-Jung Lee^{2,*}

¹Institute for Bioresources Research, Gyeongbuk Provincial Agricultural Technology Administration, Andong 760-891, South Korea

²Division of Plant Biosciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, South Korea

Objectives

The study focus on the possible residual effect of gibberellic acid and plant growth retardants (mepiquat chloride and trinexapac-ethyl), which had been used to Chinese yam plants in a previous year.

Material and Methods

An experiment period: two years (2001 and 2002)

Plant used: Chinese yam (Dioscores opposita Thunb. cv. Tsukune)

Concentration and chemicals: 50, 100, 250, 400, 500 ppm for the GA₃, 50, 100, 200, 400, 600 ppm for mepiquat chloride and trinexapac-ethyl

Application of chemical: Foliar-sprayed twice on July 15 and Aug 15 at 1,004 1 ha⁻¹.

In 2002: Cultural practices were those commonly used for the cultivation of Chinese yam.

Results and Discussion

- GA treatment increased the ratio of bulbil size (> 4 mm) compared with the control. In particular, the highest GA treatment was five fold more increased than that of the control.
- Higher MC concentrations elevated the more the ratio of bulbil size (> 4 mm) increased. MC treatment enhanced bulbil formation in all concentrations. Tuber yield was similar to the control in the lowest MC concentration (50 p.p.m.).
- Bulbil yield was highest in the TNE concentration (200 p.p.m). The more elevated TNE concentrations significantly promoted the tuber yield compared with the control.

Corresponding author: E-mail (ijlee@knu.ac.kr), Phone: 053-950-5708

Table 1. Residual effect of gibberellic acid on the size ratio and yield of bulbil, and tuber yield in Chinese yam (var. Tsukune)

| GA ₃ concn. (ppm) | Bulbil size ratio (%) | | | Yield (kg/ha) | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | <2mm | 2-4mm | >4mm | bulbil | tuber |
| Control | 75.6±1.6 | 18.1±2.8 | 6.3±1.9 | 8.6d | 14,500b |
| 50 | 52.1±0.9 | 27.1±1.8 | 20.8±2.3 | 11.4c | 14,959a |
| 100 | 49.5±1.6 | 24.2±1.3 | 26.3±2.1 | 15.2b | 15,111a |
| 250 | 45.2±1.1 | 24.4±1.4 | 30.4±1.1 | 13.8bc | 15,378a |
| 400 | 40.5±1.0 | 25.7±1.1 | 33.8±2.5 | 15.7b | 15,145a |
| 500 | 39.3±2.0 | 26.1±0.4 | 34.6±1.5 | 20.5a | 15,223a |

Data presented are mean values of four replicates±SE. Means with the same letter within a column are not significantly different (P<0.05).

Table 2. Residual effect of mepiquat chloride on the size ratio and yield of bulbil, and tuber yield in Chinese yam (var. Tsukune)

| MC ¹ concn. | Bulbil size ratio (%) | | | Yield (kg/ha) | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|
| (ppm) | <2mm | 2-4mm | >4mm | bulbil | tuber |
| Control ² | 75.6±1.6 | 18.1±2.8 | 6.3±1.9 | 8.6d | 14,500c |
| 50 | 32.1±2.0 | 22.8±2.4 | 45.1±4.1 | 75.2c | 14,967b |
| 100 | 27.5±1.5 | 23.6±1.1 | 48.9±2.5 | 95.4b | 15,389ab |
| 200 | 24.3±2.1 | 22.0±1.5 | 53.7±1.6 | 94.8b | 15,756a |
| 400 | 19.4±0.6 | 16.3±0.7 | 64.3±1.1 | 111.4a | 15,545ab |
| 600 | 14.6±1.9 | 7.3±2.9 | 78.1±2.8 | 94.7b | 15,867a |

Table 3. Residual effect of trinexapac-ethyl on the size ratio and yield of bulbil, and tuber yield in Chinese yam (var. Tsukune)

| TNE concn. (ppm) | Bulbil size ratio (%) | | | Yield (kg/ha) | |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | <2mm | 2-4mm | >4mm | bulbil | tuber |
| Control | 75.6±1.6 | 18.1±2.8 | 6.3±1.9 | 8.6c | 14,500c |
| 50 | 50.7±2.9 | 36.2±1.2 | 13.1±2.0 | 62.8a | 15,378b |
| 100 | 53.1±3.1 | 31.7±4.2 | 15.2±1.5 | 54.3b | 15,656b |
| 200 | 40.7±1.5 | 40.0±2.2 | 19.3±2.5 | 64.3a | 15,589b |
| 400 | 36.8±1.1 | 35.7±1.6 | 27.5±2.9 | 60.0a | 16,111a |
| 600 | 32.2±2.8 | 35.2±1.2 | 32.6±1.8 | 65.2a | 15,978a |