Japanese EFL Learners' Weak Points in English Intonation

Masaki Taniguchi (Chikushi Jogakuen Univ., Japan)

1. Introduction

This paper is based on research dedicated to the improvement of teaching and learning English intonation for Japanese EFL learners. Through experiments of fundamental frequencies (henceforth Fx), using the Laryngograph Processor, a computer-operated machine for extracting Fx, which enables us to see the physical counterpart of our own pitch contours, that is, interactive visual feedback of our own intonation, in real time, this paper outlines three of the weak points Japanese EFL learners have in English intonation.

2. Subjects, material and method

In this experiment, 200 college EFL learners, who have taken a course in English phonetics, have been used as subjects. They were asked to read aloud ten conversations that are shown below, and their utterances were analysed by the Laryngograph Processor. The ten conversations (with the correct nucleus in the final line of each conversation italicised) are as follows;

(1) A: Is this your first visit to Japan?

B: No, this is my second visit here.

(2) A: I am eighteen.

B: I thought you were nineteen.

(3) A: Shall we meet at 3:30?

B: Let's make it four thirty.

(4) A: He came to Japan in 1989.

B: Then he came again in nineteen ninety-nine.

(5) A: She is a very good actress?

B: She is an excellent actress.

(6) A: Did she win the Silver Medal?

B: She won the Gold Medal.

(7) A: Did it rain this morning?

B: Yes, it did.

A: I thought it would.

(8) A: Would you like some coffee?

B: No, thank you.

A: I thought you would.

(9) A: Do you export beer?

B: No, we import beer.

(10) A: Did she thank you?

B: I thanked her.

3. Results

3.1. Narrow pitch range

The first and foremost weak point of Japanese learners in English intonation is the narrow pitch range. The Fx range used by the majority of the subjects was from about 200Hz to about 250Hz. The range of some was even narrower. Although some subjects ranged from about 200Hz to 300Hz, very few used a pitch range wider than that. The use of narrow pitch ranges is not too serious a problem, because more important aspects of intonation are tone, tonicity and tonality.

3.2. Accepting old information

The second weak point that has been observed in the experiments conducted with the Laryngograph Processor is that Japanese learners tend to accent old information. In other words, they often fail to de-accent old information. In this experiment, the last line of each conversation was analysed in order to

examine how the subjects would take the previous context into consideration. The syllables where the majority of the subjects placed the nucleus are underlined in the following script:

- (1) A: Is this your first visit to Japan?
 - B: No, this is my second visit here.
- (2) A: I am eighteen.
 - B: I thought you were nineteen.
- (3) A: Shall we meet at 3:30?
 - B: Let's make it four thirty.
- (4) A: He came to Japan in 1989.
 - B: Then he came again in nineteen ninety-nine.
- (5) A: She is a very good actress?
 - B: She is an excellent actress.
- (6) A: Did she win the Silver Medal?
 - B: She won the Gold Medal.
- (7) A: Did it rain this morning?
 - B: Yes, it did.
 - A: I thought it would.
- (8) A: Would you like some coffee?
 - B: No, thank you.
 - A: I thought you would.
- (9) A: Do you export beer?
 - B: No, we import beer.
- (10) A: Did she thank you?
 - B: I thanked her.

As shown in the Table in 4 below, the results show the tendency of Japanese learners to put the nucleus on the final word in each utterance or word group. This may suggest that either the majority of the subjects did not take the previous context into consideration or even if they did take the previous context into consideration, they did not de-accent the old information, probably because old information is not always de-accented in Japanese. For (8), the final word happens to be the correct place of nucleus, and therefore, a majority of the subjects succeeded in correct nucleus placement here, but it may not mean that they knew with confidence that it should be there. It can be said

because a majority of the subjects placed the nucleus on the final word in (7) as well, which happens to be incorrect nucleus placement. Most students seemed to place the nucleus on the final word whatever the context.

3.3. Interference from Japanese intonation

Even when Japanese EFL learners do take the previous context into consideration, it may not always lead to correct nucleus placement in their English intonation. This may be caused by the differences in the way of accentuation between English and Japanese. In the underlined syllables in the above conversations, a majority of the subjects seemed to use either a high fall or low fall nucleus, but most of them also used a high level pitch on the words that would normally contain the nucleus if uttered by a native speaker, as shown in the Table in 4. This high level pitch may be the way the Japanese learners were trying to highlight the new information. Therefore, their nucleus placement on the wrong syllable may have been due to the interference from the Japanese way of highlighting new information and their inability to change the Japanese way to the English way. A majority of the subjects used a high level pitch on each of the italicized syllables as shown in the following script:

- (1) A: Is this your first visit to Japan?
 - B: No, this is my second visit here.
- (2) A: I am eighteen.
 - B: I thought you were nineteen.
- (3) A: Shall we meet at 3:30?
 - B: Let's make it four thirty.
- (4) A: He came to Japan in 1989.
 - B: Then he came again in nineteen ninety-nine.
- (5) A: She is a very good actress?
 - B: She is an excellent actress.
- (6) A: Did she win the Silver Medal?
 - B: She won the Gold Medal.
- (7) A: Did it rain this morning?
 - B: Yes, it did.
 - A: I thought it would.

(8) A: Would you like some coffee?

B: No, thank you.

A: I thought you would.

(9) A: Do you export beer?

B: No, we import beer.

(10) A: Did she thank you?

B: I thanked her.

Those subjects who used a high level pitch on the italicized syllables may have been aware of the old and new information and trying to highlight the new information by using the high level pitch. This may be said about (1), (3), (5), (6) and (9).

For (1), the correct nucleus placement would be on the first syllable of "second", but 78.5% of the subjects used a high level pitch on "second". For (3), the correct nucleus placement would be on the word "four", but 77% of the subjects used a high level pitch on "four". For (5), the correct nucleus placement would be on the first syllable of "excellent", but 63% of the subjects used a high level pitch on "excellent". For (6), the correct nucleus placement would be on the word "Gold", but 78% of the subjects used a high level pitch on "Gold". For (9), the correct nucleus placement would be on the first syllable "import", but 11% of the subjects used a high level pitch on the word "import" and 66% of them on the second syllable of "import", which may mean that few students knew that it was possible to change the place of lexical stress due to contrastive stress.

Although they sounded as if they were placing the nucleus on the syllable with the primary lexical stress in the last word in each utterance or word group, they may not have meant to do so. Their intention may have been to put the nucleus at the correct place but their way of doing so (using high level pitch) may have been incorrect. If that is so, their way of highlighting new information may be strongly interfered by Japanese intonation. It is thus necessary for them to learn the English way of highlighting new information, using one of the seven nuclear tones on those syllables. In Taniguchi (2000b), I referred to Japanese intonation interfering with Japanese EFL learners' English intonation, focusing on compounds. The interference may be found not just in compounds but in any part of the utterance, especially where nucleus placement is relevant, due to the different ways of highlighting new

information between English and Japanese.

4. Statistics

Table: Japanese EFL Learners' English Intonation

Nucleus 2							_					
B :	(The figures are rea	ıl numb	ers o	ut of	200)							
Nucleus 2 23 2 176	(1) A: Is this your	first vi	sit to	Japar	n?							
High Level Pitch	B:	No,	th	is	is	m	y	sec	ond	vis	it	here.
High Level Pitch	Nucleus	2						23		2		178
Calcal C	High Level Pitch							1:	57			
B :	High Level Pitch									7		
B :												
Nucleus 7 3 8 85 117 High Level Pitch 1 2 1 (3) A : Shall we meet at 3:30? B: Let's make it four thirt y. Nucleus Nucleus 26 169 4 High Level Pitch 154 1 (4) A : He came to Japan in 1989. B: Then he came again in nine teen ninet y nine ninet y nine ninet y nine ninet level Pitch 2 16 18 High Level Pitch 3 13 13 13 (5) A : She is a very good actress? B: She is an exc el lent ac tress 149 149 High Level Pitch 126 126 149 126 (6) A : Did she win the Silver Medal? She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2	(2) A: I am eightee	n.										
High Level Pitch 1	B:	I	thou	ıght	you	we	re	nine	teen.			
(3) A : Shall we meet at 3:30? B :	Nucleus	7	;	3	8			85	117			<u> </u>
B : Let's make it four thirt y.	High Level Pitch			1				2				
B : Let's make it four thirt y.												
Nucleus 26 169 4 High Level Pitch 154 154 (4) A: He came to Japan in 1989. B: Then he came again in nine teen ninet y nin Nucleus 2 16 18 High Level Pitch 3 13 13 (5) A: She is a very good actress? B: She is an exc el lent ac tress Nucleus 149 High Level Pitch 126 149 149 (6) A: Did she win the Silver Medal? B: She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus Nucleus 37 164 2	(3) A: Shall we me	et at 3:	30?					,				.
High Level Pitch 154 154 154 154 154 154 154 154 154 154	B:	Let's	ma	ake	æ it		ır	thirt	y.			
(4) A: He came to Japan in 1989. B: Then he came again in nine teen ninet y nin Nucleus 2 16 18 High Level Pitch 3 13 (5) A: She is a very good actress? B: She is an exc el lent ac tress Nucleus 66 149 High Level Pitch 126 (6) A: Did she win the Silver Medal? B: She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2	Nucleus					26	3	169	4			
B: Then he came again in nine teen ninet y ninet	High Level Pitch					15	4					
B: Then he came again in nine teen ninet y ninet												
Nucleus 2 16 18 High Level Pitch 3 13 (5) A : She is a very good actress? B : She is an exc el lent ac tress Nucleus 66 149 High Level Pitch 126 (6) A : Did she win the Silver Medal? B : She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2	(4) A: He came to	Japan i	n 198	9.								
High Level Pitch 3 13 13	B:	Then	he	came	e aga	ain	in	nine	teen	nine	et !	nine
(5) A : She is a very good actress? B: She is an exc el lent ac tress Nucleus 66 149 High Level Pitch 126 (6) A : Did she win the Silver Medal? B: She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2	Nucleus								2	16		182
B: She is an exc el lent ac tress Nucleus 66 149 High Level Pitch 126 (6) A: Did she win the Silver Medal? B: She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2	High Level Pitch								3	13		
B: She is an exc el lent ac tress Nucleus 66 149 High Level Pitch 126 (6) A: Did she win the Silver Medal? B: She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2												
Nucleus 66 149 High Level Pitch 126 (6) A : Did she win the Silver Medal? B : She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2 2	(5) A: She is a ver	y good	actre	ss?								
High Level Pitch 126	B:	She	is		an	exe		el	lent	ac	tress	3
(6) A : Did she win the Silver Medal? B : She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2	Nucleus					66				149		
B: She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2	High Level Pitch					126		126				
B: She won the Gold Med al. Nucleus 37 164 2												
Nucleus 37 164 2	(6) A: Did she win	the Sil	ver N	/ledal?	•							
	B:	She	w	on	the	Go	ld	Med	al.			
Ligh Loyal Ditab	Nucleus					3	7	164	2			
rugii Levei rucii 130	High Level Pitch					15	6					

(7) A: Did it rain th	nis mor	ming?							
B: Yes, it did.									
A:	I	thought	it	would.					
Nucleus	27	9		195					
High Level Pitch	13	67							
(O) A . XX7 13 1							 		
(8) A: Would you I		ne corree?					 		
B: No, thank yo						 Т	 		_
A:	I	thought				 _		<u> </u>	
Nucleus	29	8	6	194					
High Level Pitch	10	66							
									_
(9) A : Do you expo	rt beer	?					 		
(9) A : Do you expo	rt beer No,		ir	n port	beer.			T	
			_	n port	beer. 160				
В:	No,		_		-				
B: Nucleus	No,		_	4 18	-				
B: Nucleus High Level Pitch	No,		_	4 18 132	-				
B: Nucleus High Level Pitch	No, 26	we	_	4 18 132	-				
B: Nucleus High Level Pitch High Level Pitch	No, 26	we	2	4 18 132	-				
B: Nucleus High Level Pitch High Level Pitch (10) A: Did she than	No, 26	we	2 ad he	4 18 132 22	-				

5. Conclusion

This paper examined three of the weak points Japanese EFL learners have in English intonation: narrow pitch range, accenting old information, and interference from Japanese intonation. Narrow pitch range is not a serious problem, because more important are tone, tonicity and tonality. Nonetheless Japanese learners may well be encouraged to speak English more confidently, using wider pitch ranges as well as clearer pronunciation segments.

Accenting old information, or failing to de-accent old information may be caused by the Japanese learners' tendency of accenting the primary lexical stress syllable in the last word in every utterance or word group they utter, thus failing to acknowledge any new information as such wherever they occur. When the new information happens to be the last word in an utterance, it bears the nucleus in a majority of Japanese learners' intonation, but it may not mean that they acknowledge the presence of the new information there.

Interference from Japanese intonation may have caused the subjects to use high level pitch on what they acknowledged as new information, and thus where they thought the nucleus should be placed. This high level pitch may be one of the ways of highlighting new information or emphasising the important part of the utterance in Japanese, and the subjects may have transferred that method to their English intonation. They were not aware of the difference in the way of highlighting the new and important part of the message between English and Japanese and just seemed to stick to the Japanese way of highlighting the new and important part of the message when speaking English.

References

- Abberton, E. and Fourcin, A. J. (1975a), "Visual feedback and the acquisition of intonation", in Lenneberg and Lenneberg (eds), Foundations of Language Development: A Multi-disciplinary Approach, London: Academic Press.
- Abberton, E. and Fourcin, A. J. (1975b), American English Intonation: Audio-Visual Practice Materials, textbook and tapes. London: Linguaphone.
- Abberton, E., Fourcin, A. J. and Miller, D. (1994), "Using pc-based interactive visual displays for teaching and learning intonation", Language Centre 5, pp.5~6.
- Allen, W. S. (1954), Living English Speech, London: Longman
- Bradford, B. (1988), Intonation in Context, Cambridge: CUP.
- Taniguchi, M. (1997a), "A Pilot Study for Research on Improving the Teaching of English Intonation to Japanese Learners of English", Journal of Chikushi Jogkuen University, No.9, pp.151~169.
- Taniguchi, M. 1997b), "A Study of the Effect of Interactive Visual Feedback on Improving the Intonation of Japanese EFL Learners", in M. Tsuzuki(ed), English Phonetics.
- Taniguchi, M. (1997c), "Effect of Interactive Visual Feedback on the Improvement of English Intonation of Japanese EFL Learners", Bulletin of the International Cultural Research Institute of Chikushi Jogakuen University/Junior College, No.8.
- Taniguchi, M. (1998a), "Appendix to 'Effect of Interactive Visual Feedback on the Improvement of English Intonation of Japanese EFL Learners': Material 1", Journal of Chikushi Jogakuen University, No.10.
- Taniguchi, M. (1998b), "Appendix to 'Effect of Interactive Visual Feedback on the Improvement of English Intonation of Japanese EFL Learners': Material 2", Bulletin

- of the International Cultural Research Institute of Chikushi Jogakuen University/Junior College, No.9.
- Taniguchi, M. (1999a), "Appendix to 'Effect of Interactive Visual Feedback on the Improvement of English Intonation of Japanese EFL Learners': Material 3", Journal of Chikushi Jogakuen University, No.11.
- Taniguchi, M. (1999b), "Appendix to 'Effect of Interactive Visual Feedback on the Improvement of English Intonation of Japanese EFL Learners': Material 4", Bulletin of the International Cultural Research Institute of Chikushi Jogakuen University/Junior College, No.10.
- Taniguchi, M. & Abberton, E. (1999c), "Effect of Interactive Visual Feedback on the Improvement of English Intonation of Japanese EFL Learners", Speech, Hearing and Language: Work in Progress, 11, The Department of Phonetics and Linguistics, University College London.
- Taniguchi, M. (2000a), "Characteristic Features of Japanese EFL Learners' English Intonation: How Interactive Visual Feedback can Help to Improve", in M. Tsuzuki (ed), English Phonetics No.3.
- Taniguchi, M. (2000b), "Analysis of Japanese EFL Learners' English Intonation: Japanese and English Compounds", Bulletin of the International Cultural Research Institute of Chikushi Jogakuen University/Junior College, No.11.
- Taniguchi, M. (2001), "Japanese EFL Learners' Weak Points in English Intonation", in M. Tsuzuki (ed), English Phonetics No.4.
- Wells, J. C. (1990), Longman Pronunciation Dictionary, Harlow: Longman.
- Wells, J. C. (2000a), Longman Pronunciation Dictionary, 2nd ed London: Pearson Education.
- Wells, J. C. (2000b), "Overcoming phonetic interference", in M. Tsuzuki(ed), English Phonetics No.3.