

퍼지 집합 공간에서의 Skorokhod metric의 성질

Some properties of the Skorokhod metric on the space of fuzzy sets

김윤경 · 박병인
동신대학교 전산수학과 · 원광대학교 수학과

Yun Kyong Kim
Department of Computational Mathematics, Dongshin University,
E-mail: ykkim@blue.dongshinu.ac.kr
Byeong In Park
Department of Mathematics, Wonkwang University,
E-mail: jychoi@wonkwang.ac.kr

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we investigate some properties of the Skorokhod metric on the space $F(R^b)$ of upper semicontinuous fuzzy subsets of R^b with compact support, which include the continuity of operations, the translation invariance and convexity.

Keywords : Fuzzy Numbers, The Skorokhod metric, Translation Invariance, Convex Sets.

1. Introduction

The Skorokhod metric on the space $D[0,1]$ of functions from $[0,1]$ into the real line R which are right-continuous and have left limits was introduced to study limits theorems for stochastic processes with jumps. It turned out that the Skorokhod metric plays a key role for the convergence of probability measures on $D[0,1]$. Recently, Joo and Kim [6] introduced a new metric d_s on the space $F(R^b)$ of upper-semicontinuous fuzzy sets in R^b with compact support which is similar to the Skorokhod metric on $D[0,1]$,

and proved that $F(R^b)$ is separable and topologically complete in the metric d_s .

It is expected that the metric d_s will play an important role for the convergence of fuzzy random variables. Thus it seems to be important that we study several properties of the metric d_s .

In this paper, we investigate some properties of the metric d_s on the space $F(R^b)$ which include the continuity of operations, the translation invariance and convexity.

II. Preliminaries

Let $K(R^p)$ denote the family of non-empty compact subsets of the Euclidean space R^p . Then the space $K(R^p)$ is metrizable by the Hausdorff metric h defined by

$$h(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} |a - b|, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} |a - b|\}.$$

A norm of $A \in K(R^p)$ is defined by $\|A\| = h(A, \{0\}) = \sup_{a \in A} |a|$.

The linear structure on $K(R^p)$ is defined as usual:

$$A \oplus B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$\lambda A = \{\lambda a : a \in A\}$$

for $A, B \in K(R^p)$ and $\lambda \in R$. In particular, if $p=1$, the multiplication on $K(R)$ can be defined as follows:

$$A \odot B = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

We denote by $K_c(R^p)$ the family of all convex $A \in K(R^p)$.

Now let us denote by $F(R^p)$ the family of all fuzzy sets $\tilde{u} : R^p \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following properties:

- (1) \tilde{u} is normal, i.e., there exists $x \in R^p$ such that $\tilde{u}(x) = 1$;
- (2) \tilde{u} is upper semicontinuous;
- (3) $\text{supp } \tilde{u} = \overline{\{x \in R^p : \tilde{u}(x) > 0\}}$ is compact.

A fuzzy set $\tilde{u} \in F(R^p)$ is called a fuzzy number if it is a convex fuzzy set, i.e.,

$$\tilde{u}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \geq \min(\tilde{u}(x), \tilde{u}(y))$$

for $x, y \in R^p$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. The family of all fuzzy numbers in R^p will be denoted by $F_c(R^p)$.

For a fuzzy set \tilde{u} in R^p , the α -level set of \tilde{u} is defined by

$$L_\alpha \tilde{u} = \begin{cases} \{x : \tilde{u}(x) \geq \alpha\} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \text{supp } \tilde{u} & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, it follows immediately that

$$\tilde{u} \in F(R^p) \text{ if and only if } L_\alpha \tilde{u} \in K(R^p)$$

and

$$\tilde{u} \in F_c(R^p) \text{ if and only if } L_\alpha \tilde{u} \in K_c(R^p)$$

for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

The linear structure on $F(R^p)$ is defined as usual:

$$(\tilde{u} \oplus \tilde{v})(z) = \sup_{x+y=z} \min(\tilde{u}(x), \tilde{v}(y)),$$

$$(\lambda \tilde{u})(z) = \begin{cases} \tilde{u}(z/\lambda) & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ I_{\{0\}} & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \end{cases}$$

for $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in F(R^p)$ and $\lambda \in R$, where $I_{\{0\}}$ is the indicator function of $\{0\}$. Also, for the case of $p=1$, the multiplication on $F(R)$ can be defined as follows:

$$(\tilde{u} \odot \tilde{v})(z) = \sup_{xy=z} \min(\tilde{u}(x), \tilde{v}(y)),$$

Now, in order to generalize the Hausdorff metric h on $K(R^p)$ to $F(R^p)$, we define the metric d_∞ on $F(R^p)$ by

$$d_\infty(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} h(L_\alpha \tilde{u}, L_\alpha \tilde{v})$$

Also, the norm of \tilde{u} is defined as

$$\|\tilde{u}\| = d_\infty(\tilde{u}, I_{\{0\}}) = \sup_{x \in L_0 \tilde{u}} |x|.$$

Then it is well-known that $F(R^p)$ is complete but non-separable w.r.t. the metric d_∞ (see Klement et. al. [10]). Recently, Joo and Kim [6] introduced a new metric d_s on $F(R^p)$ which makes it a separable metric space as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let T denote the class of strictly increasing, continuous mappings of $[0,1]$ onto itself. For $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in F(R^p)$, we define

$$d_s(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \text{there exists a } t \in T \text{ such that} \\ \sup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} |t(a) - a| \leq \varepsilon \\ \text{and } d_\infty(\tilde{u}, t(\tilde{v})) \leq \varepsilon \},$$

where $t(\tilde{v})$ denotes the composition of \tilde{v} and t .

Then it follows immediately that d_s is a metric on $F(R^p)$ and $d_s(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \leq d_\infty(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$. The metric d_s will be called the Skorokhod metric. Note that a sequence $\{\tilde{u}_n\} \in F(R^p)$ converges to a limit \tilde{u} in the metric d_s if and only if there exists a sequence of functions $\{t_n\}$ in T such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n(a) = a \text{ uniformly in } a,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\infty(t_n(\tilde{u}_n), \tilde{u}) = 0.$$

If $d_\infty(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{u}) \rightarrow 0$, then $d_s(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{u}) \rightarrow 0$. But, the converse is not true (for counter-example, see Joo and Kim [5]).

III. Main Results

In this section, we investigate some properties of the metric d_s on the space $F(R^p)$.

Proposition 3.1.

For $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in F(R^p)$ and $\lambda \in R$, we have

$$d_s(\lambda \tilde{u}, \lambda \tilde{v}) \geq |\lambda| d_s(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \\ d_s(\lambda \tilde{u}, \lambda \tilde{v}) \leq |\lambda| d_s(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \text{ if } |\lambda| \geq 1.$$

Proposition 3.2.

(1) The scalar multiplication on $(F(R^p), d_s)$ is continuous.

(2) The addition on $(F(R^p), d_s)$ is not continuous. But if $d_s(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{u}) \rightarrow 0$, $d_s(\tilde{v}_n, \tilde{v}) \rightarrow 0$ and $d_s(\tilde{u}_n \oplus \tilde{v}_n, \tilde{w}) \rightarrow 0$, then $\tilde{w} = \tilde{u} \oplus \tilde{v}$.

(3) The multiplication on $(F(R), d_s)$ is not continuous. But if

$$d_s(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{u}) \rightarrow 0, \quad d_s(\tilde{v}_n, \tilde{v}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ d_s(\tilde{u}_n \odot \tilde{v}_n, \tilde{w}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } \tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n \in F_c(R), \text{ then}$$

$$\tilde{w} = \tilde{u} \odot \tilde{v}.$$

Proposition 3.3.

(1) The metric d_s is not translation invariant.

(2) $d_s(\tilde{u} \oplus \tilde{a}, \tilde{v} \oplus \tilde{b}) \leq d_s(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) + \|\tilde{a}\| + \|\tilde{b}\|$ for any $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in F(R^p)$.

(3) $\|\tilde{u}\| \leq \|\tilde{v}\| + d_s(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ for $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in F(R^p)$.

Proposition 3.4.

(1) $d_s(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) \leq d_s(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)$ does not imply $d_\infty(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) \leq d_\infty(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)$.

(2) $d_\infty(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) \leq d_\infty(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)$ does not imply $d_s(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) \leq d_s(\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)$.

Proposition 3.5. In the metric space $(F_c(R^p), d_s)$,

- (1) The closure of a convex set need not be convex.
- (2) The closed convex hull of a compact set need not be compact.

[10] E. P. Klement, M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, Limit theorems for fuzzy random variables, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 407 (1986), 171-182.

[11] A. V. Skorokhod, Limit theorems for stochastic processes, Theory Probab. Appl. 1(1956), 261-290.

IV. References

[1] P. Billingsley, Convergence of probability measures, Wiley, New York, 1968.

[2] G. Debreu, Integration of correspondences, Proc. 5th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. 2(1966), 351-372.

[3] B. M. Ghil, S. Y. Joo and Y. K. Kim Continuity of functions on the space of fuzzy sets, submitted

[4] J. Jacod and A. N. Shirayaev, Limit theorems for Stochastic Processes, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[5] S. Y. Joo and Y. K. Kim, The Skorokhod topology on space of fuzzy numbers, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 111(2000), 497-501.

[6] S. Y. Joo and Y. K. Kim, Topological properties on the space of fuzzy sets, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. 246(2000), 576-590.

[7] S. Y. Joo and Y. K. Kim, Continuity of the addition and scalar multiplication on the space of fuzzy sets, Korean J. Comput. & Appl. Math. preprint.

[8] O. Kaleva, On the convergence of fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 17(1985), 53-65.

[9] Y. K. Kim, Compactness and convexity on the space of fuzzy sets, submitted.