

비선형 퍼지 미분 시스템에 대한
 α -수준 완전 제어가능성

The α -level controllability for the
nonlinear fuzzy differential systems

권영철, 강점란

동아대학교 자연과학대학 수학과

Department of Mathematics, Dong-A University,
840, Hadan-dong, Saha-Ku, Pusan, Korea

강만기

동의대학교 전산통계학과

Department of Computer Sciences & Statistics, Dong-Eui University,
24, Gaya2-dong, Jin-Ku, Pusan, Korea

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let E_N be the set of all upper semicontinuous convex fuzzy numbers with bounded α -level intervals.

The purpose of this note is to investigate the α -level controllability of nonlinear fuzzy control system.

$$(F.D.E) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t)) + u(t), \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

where $a: [0, T] \rightarrow E_N$ is fuzzy coefficient, $x_0 \in E_N$ is initial value and nonlinear function $f: [0, T] \times E_N \rightarrow E_N$ satisfies a global Lipschitz condition and control function $u(t)$ is fuzzy number.

We find the α -level exact controllability conditions of the (F.C.S) on the assumption that the following linear fuzzy control system (F.C.S 1) is α -level exact controllable :

$$(F.C.S.1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) + u(t), \\ x(0) = x_0 \in E_N. \end{cases}$$

We consider properties of the fuzzy number and metrics.

A fuzzy subset of R^n is defined in terms of a membership function which assigns to each point $x \in R^n$ a grade of membership in the fuzzy set. Such a membership function

$$u : R^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

is used synonymously to denote the corresponding fuzzy set.

Assumption 1. u maps R^n onto $[0, 1]$.

Assumption 2. $[u]^0$ is a bounded subset of R^n .

Assumption 3. u is upper semicontinuous.

Assumption 4. u is fuzzy convex.

We denote by E^n the space of all fuzzy subsets u of R^n which satisfy Assumptions 1-4; that is, normal, fuzzy convex, upper semicontinuous fuzzy sets with bounded supports.

In particular $n=1$, denote by E^1 the space of all fuzzy subsets u of R which satisfy Assumptions 1-4.

A fuzzy number a in real line R is a fuzzy set characterized by a membership function μ_a as $\mu_a : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

A fuzzy number a is expressed as $a = \int_{x \in R} \mu_a(x) / x$ with the understanding that $\mu_a(x) \in [0, 1]$ represent the grade of membership of x in a and \int denotes the union of $\mu_a(x) / x$'s.

A fuzzy number a in R is said to be convex if for any real numbers $x, y, z \in R$ with $x \leq y \leq z$,

$$\mu_a(y) \geq \min \{ \mu_a(x), \mu_a(z) \}.$$

A fuzzy number a in R is called normal if the following holds

$$\max_x \mu_a(x) = 1.$$

Let E_N be the set of all upper semicontinuous convex fuzzy numbers with bounded α -level

intervals. This means that if $a \in E_N$ then the α -level set

$$[a]^\alpha = \{ x \in R \mid \mu_a(x) \geq \alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \}$$

is a closed bounded interval which we denote by

$$[a]^\alpha = [a_l^\alpha, a_r^\alpha]$$

and there exists a $t_0 \in R$ such that $a(t_0) = 1$.

Two fuzzy numbers a and b are called equal $a = b$, if $a(x) = b(x)$ for all $x \in R$. It follows that

$$a = b \Leftrightarrow [a]^\alpha = [b]^\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, 1) .$$

A fuzzy number a may be decomposed into its level sets through the resolution identity

$$a = \int_0^1 a[a]^\alpha ,$$

where $a[a]^\alpha$ is the product of a scalar a with the set $[a]^\alpha$ and \int is the union of $[a]^\alpha$'s with a ranging from 0 to 1.

The support Γ_a of a fuzzy number a is defined, as a special case of level set, by the following

$$\Gamma_a = \{x | \mu_a(x) > 0\} .$$

A fuzzy number a in R is said to be positive if $0 < a_1 < a_2$ holds for the support $\Gamma_a = [a_1, a_2]$ of a , that is, Γ_a is in the positive real line. Similarly, a is called negative if $a_1 \leq a_2 < 0$ and zero if $a_1 \leq 0 \leq a_2$.

Lemma 1.1. ([12]) If $a, b \in E_N$, then for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} [a + b]^\alpha &= [a_l^\alpha + b_l^\alpha, a_r^\alpha + b_r^\alpha], \\ [a \cdot b]^\alpha &= [\min\{a_l^\alpha b_j^\alpha\}, \max\{a_i^\alpha b_r^\alpha\}] \quad (i, j = l, r), \\ [a - b]^\alpha &= [a_l^\alpha - b_r^\alpha, a_r^\alpha - b_l^\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.2 ([12]) Let $[a_l^\alpha, a_r^\alpha]$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, be a given family of nonempty intervals.

If (1) $[a_l^\beta, a_r^\beta] \subset [a_l^\alpha, a_r^\alpha]$ for $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ and (2) $[\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_l^{\alpha_k}, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_r^{\alpha_k}] = [a_l^\alpha, a_r^\alpha]$

whenever (α_k) is nondecreasing sequence converging to $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then the family $[a_l^\alpha, a_r^\alpha]$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, represents the α -level sets of a fuzzy number $a \in E_N$.

Conversely, if $[a_l^\alpha, a_r^\alpha]$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, are the α -level sets of a fuzzy number $a \in E_N$, then the conditions (1) and (2) holds true.

Let x be a point in R^n and A be a nonempty subset of R^n . We define the distance $d(x, A)$ from x to A by

$$(1.1) \quad d(x, A) = \inf \{ \|x - a\| : a \in A \} .$$

Now let A and B be nonempty subsets of R^n . We define the Hausdorff separation of B from A by

$$(1.2) \quad d_H^*(B, A) = \sup \{ d(b, A) : b \in B \} ,$$

in general $d_H^*(A, B) \neq d_H^*(B, A)$.

We define the Hausdorff distance between nonempty subsets of A and B of R^n by

$$(1.3) \quad d_H(A, B) = \max \{ d_H^*(A, B), d_H^*(B, A) \} .$$

This is now symmetric in A and B . Consequently

- (1) $d_H(A, B) \geq 0$ with $d_H(A, B) = 0$ if and only if $\overline{A} = \overline{B}$.
- (2) $d_H(A, B) = d_H(B, A)$
- (3) $d_H(A, B) \leq d_H(A, C) + d_H(C, B)$

for any nonempty subsets of A, B and C of R^n . The Hausdorff distance (1.3) is a metric, the Hausdorff metric.

The supremum metric d_∞ on E^n is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad d_\infty(u, v) = \sup\{d_H([u]^\alpha, [v]^\alpha) : \alpha \in (0, 1]\} \quad \text{for all } u, v \in E^n$$

and is obviously metric on E^n .

The supremum metric H_1 on $C([0, T]: E^n)$ is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad H_1(x, y) = \sup\{d_\infty(x(t), y(t)) : t \in [0, T]\} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C([0, T]: E^n).$$

2. The α -level controllability of nonlinear fuzzy differential system

We consider the α -level controllability of nonlinear fuzzy control system.

$$(F.D.E) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t)) + u(t), \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

where $a: [0, T] \rightarrow E_N$ is fuzzy coefficient, initial value $x_0 \in E_N$ and control function

$u: [0, T] \rightarrow E_N$ and nonlinear function $f: [0, T] \times E_N \rightarrow E_N$ satisfies a global Lipschitz

condition. i.e., there exists a finite constant $k > 0$ such that

$$d_H([f(s, \xi_1(s))]^\alpha, [f(s, \xi_2(s))]^\alpha) \leq k d_H([\xi_1(s)]^\alpha, [\xi_2(s)]^\alpha)$$

for all $\xi_1(s), \xi_2(s) \in E_N$.

The (F.C.S) is related to the following fuzzy integral system :

$$(F.I.S) \quad \begin{cases} x(t) = S(t)x_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)u(s) ds, \\ x(0) = x_0 \in E_N. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.1. The (F.I.S) is α -level exact controllable if, there exists $u(t)$ such that the fuzzy solution $x(t)$ of (F.I.S) satisfies $[x(T)]^\alpha = [x^1]^\alpha$ where x^1 is target set.

We assume that the following linear fuzzy control system with respect to nonlinear fuzzy

control system (F.C.S) :

$$(F.C.S 1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) + u(t) , \\ x(0) = x_0 \in E_N \end{cases}$$

is α -level exact controllable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [x(T)]^\alpha &= [S(T)x_0 + \int_0^T S(T-s)u(s)ds]^\alpha \\ &= [S_l^\alpha(T)x_{0l}^\alpha + \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)u_l^\alpha(s)ds, S_r^\alpha(T)x_{0r}^\alpha + \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)u_r^\alpha(s)ds] \text{ Defined} \\ &= [(x^1)_l^\alpha, (x^1)_r^\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

the fuzzy mapping $\tilde{g}: \tilde{P}(R) \rightarrow E_N$ by

$$\tilde{g}^\alpha(v) = \begin{cases} \int_0^T S^\alpha(T-s)v(s)ds, & v \subset \overline{T_u}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then there exist \tilde{g}_i^α ($i=l, r$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_l^\alpha(v) &= \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)v_l(s)ds, \quad v_l(s) \in [u_l^\alpha(s), u^1(s)], \\ \tilde{g}_r^\alpha(v) &= \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)v_r(s)ds, \quad v_r(s) \in [u^1(s), u_r^\alpha(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that $\tilde{g}_l^\alpha, \tilde{g}_r^\alpha$ are bijective mappings. Hence α -level of $u(s)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} [u(s)]^\alpha &= [u_l^\alpha(s), u_r^\alpha(s)] \\ &= [(\tilde{g}_l^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_l^\alpha - S_l^\alpha(T)x_{0l}^\alpha)(s), (\tilde{g}_r^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_r^\alpha - S_r^\alpha(T)x_{0r}^\alpha)(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can be introduced $u(s)$ of nonlinear system Then substitutin

$$\begin{aligned} [u(s)]^\alpha &= [u_l^\alpha(s), u_r^\alpha(s)] \\ &= [(\tilde{g}_l^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_l^\alpha - S_l^\alpha(T)x_{0l}^\alpha - \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)f_l^\alpha(s, x(s))ds), \\ &\quad ((\tilde{g}_r^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_r^\alpha - S_r^\alpha(T)x_{0r}^\alpha - \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)f_r^\alpha(s, x(s))ds)]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [x(T)]^\alpha &= [S_l^\alpha(T)x_{0l}^\alpha + \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)f_l^\alpha(s, x(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)((\tilde{g}_l^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_l^\alpha - S_l^\alpha(T)x_{0l}^\alpha - \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)f_l^\alpha(s, x(s))ds)ds, \\ &\quad S_r^\alpha(T)x_{0r}^\alpha + \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)f_r^\alpha(s, x(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)((\tilde{g}_r^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_r^\alpha - S_r^\alpha(T)x_{0r}^\alpha - \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)f_r^\alpha(s, x(s))ds)ds] \end{aligned}$$

this expression into the (F.I.S) yields α -level of $x(T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [S_l^\alpha(T)x_{0l}^\alpha + \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)f_l^\alpha(s, x(s)) ds \\
&\quad + \tilde{g}_l^\alpha \cdot (\tilde{g}_l^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_l^\alpha - S_l^\alpha(T)x_{0l}^\alpha - \int_0^T S_l^\alpha(T-s)f_l^\alpha(s, x(s)) ds), \\
&\quad S_r^\alpha(T)x_{0r}^\alpha + \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)f_r^\alpha(s, x(s)) ds \\
&\quad + \tilde{g}_r^\alpha \cdot (\tilde{g}_r^\alpha)^{-1}((x^1)_r^\alpha - S_r^\alpha(T)x_{0r}^\alpha - \int_0^T S_r^\alpha(T-s)f_r^\alpha(s, x(s)) ds)] \\
&= [(x^1)_l^\alpha, (x^1)_r^\alpha] = [x^1]^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

We now set

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi x(t) &= {}_a S(t)x_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s, x(s)) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t S(t-s) \tilde{g}^{-1}(x^1 - S(T)x_0 - \int_0^T S(T-s)f(s, x(s)) ds) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where the fuzzy mappings \tilde{g}^{-1} is satisfied above statements.

Notice that $\Phi x(T) = {}_a x^1$, which means that the control $u(t)$ steers the (F.C.S) from the origine to x^1 in time T provided we can obtain a fixed point of the nonlinear operator Φ . Assume that the following hypotheses:

(H1) (F.C.S 1) is α -level exact controllable.

(H2) Inhomogeneous term $f: [0, T] \times E_N \rightarrow E_N$ satisfies a global Lipschitz condition. i.e., there exists a finite constant constant $k > 0$ such that

$$d_H([f(s, \xi_1(s))]^\alpha, [f(s, \xi_2(s))]^\alpha) \leq k d_H([\xi_1(s)]^\alpha, [\xi_2(s)]^\alpha)$$

for all $\xi_1(s), \xi_2(s) \in E_N$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that hypotheses (H1), (H2) are satisfied. Then the state of the (F.I.S) can be steered from the initial value x_0 to any final state x^1 in time T .

Proof. Omitted.

References

- [1] D.Dubois and H.Prade, *Towards Fuzzy Differential Calculus Part 1: Integration of fuzzy mappings*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **8**, 1-17, (1982).
- [2] D.Dubois and H.Prade, *Towards Fuzzy Differential Calculus Part 2: Integration on fuzzy intervals*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **8**, 105-116, (1982).
- [3] D.Dubois, H.Prade, *Towards Fuzzy Differential Calculus Part 3: Differentiation*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **8**, 225-234, (1982).
- [4] D.G.Luenberger, *Optimization by vector space methods*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. (1969)
- [5] D.G.Park, G.T.Choi and Y.C.Kwun, *Existence and uniqueness of solution for the fuzzy differential equation*.
- [6] L.M.Hocking, *Optimal Control an introduction to the theory with applications*, Oxford applied Mathematics and Computing Science series Clarendon Press, (1991).
- [7] M.Mizumoto and K.Tanaka, *Some properties of fuzzy numbers*, North-Holland Publishing Company, (1979).
- [8] Osmo Kaleva, *Fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy set and System. **24**, 301-317, (1987).
- [9] P.Diamand and P.Kloeden, *Metric space of Fuzzy sets*, World scientific., (1994).
- [10] P.Diamond and P.E.Kloeden, *Optimization under uncertainty*, Proceedings 3rd.IPMU Congress, B.Bouchon-Meunier and R.R.Yager, Paris, 247-249, (1990)
- [11] P.V.Subrahmanyam, S.K.Sudarsanam, *A note fuzzy Volterra integral equations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. **81**, 237-240, (1996).
- [12] S.Seikkala, *On The Fuzzy Initial Value problem*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. **24**, 319-330, (1987).
- [13] Y.C.Kwun and D.G.Park, *Optimal control problem for fuzzy differential equations*, Proceedings of the Korea-Vietnam Joint Seminar, 103-114, (1998).
- [14] Y.C.Kwun, J.Y.Park and J.W.Ryu, *Approximate Controllability and Controllability for delay Volterra System*, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society., **28**, No.2 ,(1991).