

DIFFERENTIAL PHOTOPERCEPTION AND GENE EXPRESSION FOR DIFFERENT PHYTOCHROMES

Masaki FURUYA

Hitachi Advanced Research Lab, Saitama 350-03, Japan

Photochrome (Phy)-dependent reactions have been classified into “induction” reaction and “high irradiance” reaction in terms of fluence and timing of irradiation. My talk centers around the former. Recent our studies^{1,2} using PhyA- and PhyB-null mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* revealed that PhyA perceives UV, visible and far-red light of very low fluence and induces photo-irreversibly seed germination and CAB gene expression, while PhyB photo-reversibly switches “on” or “off” of the response upon alternatively given red and far-red light of 4 order of magnitude higher fluences than PhyA. The availability of these Phy mutants has clarified that the light-responsive element of a CHS gene mediates UV-A / blue induced transcription in the absence of PhyA and PhyB, besides PhyA dependent induction³.

To explain the different photoperception by PhyA and PhyB, Eberhard Schafer and I have proposed a model on the basis of photochemical calculation, assuming that PhyA induces the signal as a monomer (or its heterodimer, PhyA_rPhyA_{fr}), while PhyB can switch “on” only by the homodimer form (PhyB_{fr}PhyB_{fr}) and “off” by PhyB_rPhyB_r, and the heterodimer of PhyB would be unable to switch “on” or “off” in terms of PhyB signaling. This kinetics-based model can be consistent with the experimental data, but there might be several other models as we do not have any crucial physicochemical data to proof this hypothesis yet.

1) Shinomura, T., Nagatani, A., Hanzawa, H., Kubota, M., Watanabe, M., Furuya, M. (1996) PNAS USA, in press.:

2) Hamazato, F., Shinomura, T., Chory, J., Furuya, M. (1995) Plant Cell Physiol. 36, supplement s128;

3) Batscauer, A., Roccholl, M., Kaiser, T., Nagatani, A., Furuya, M., Schafer, E. (1996) Plant J. 9 : 63-69;

4) Furuya M., Schafer, E. (1996) Trends in Plant Science., in press.