

역학자료분석에서 통계 패키지 이용 - EGRET 및 GLIM 시스템 -

유 근 영
서울대학교 의과대학

1. Analytic Strategy for Ordinal Variables
 - 1) Score test for trend in two-by-k table (EGRET)
 - 2) Likelihood ratio test for trend (GLIM)
2. Adjustment for Confounders by Linear Logistic Model
 - 1) Demonstration of confounder in a case-control study (PC-SAS)
 - 2) Strategy for adjustment by linear logistic model (GLIM)
 - 3) Estimation of adjusted MLE with intervals (GLIM/EGRET)
3. Quantitative Analysis with Linear Logistic Model
 - 1) Model selection procedure (GLIM)
 - 2) Likelihood ratio test (GLIM)
 - 3) Quantitative interpretation of linear logistic model
 - 4) Joint odds ratio estimation (GLIM)
 - 5) Quantitative interpretation of non-linear logistic model
4. Conditional Linear Logistic Regression Analysis
 - 1) Estimation of conditional MLE using linear logistic model to fit 1:1 matched data (GLIM/EGRET)
5. Application of Log-Linear Model
 - 1) Log-linear model (GLIM)
 - 2) Constant hazard model (GLIM)
 - 3) Proportional hazard model (GLIM)

Analytic Strategy for Ordinal Variables

1) Score Test for Trend

Armitage Test (Cochran 1954; Armitage 1955)

$$H_0 : \beta = 0$$

$$S^2 = \frac{b}{V(b)} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{(estimated regression coefficient of } P_i \text{ on } e_i) \\ \text{(estimated sampling variance of } b \text{ on the } H_0) \end{array}$$

$$= \frac{[\sum_i e_i (a_i - E_i)]^2}{\sum \text{Var}(b)} \approx \chi^2, \text{ df} = 1$$

$$uS_{\text{Arm}}^2 = \frac{N^3 [\sum e_i (a_i - E_i)]^2}{n_1 n_2 [N \sum e_i^2 m_i - (\sum e_i m_i)^2]} \approx \chi^2, \text{ df} = 1$$

【예 제】 단면적 조사의 결과 서울지역 성인 남자의 B형간염 감염율은 아래와 같았다. 이 집단에서 B형 간염 감염율은 연령증가에 따라 증가한다고 할 수 있겠는가?

Age (years)	No. tested	Infected group		
		No. inf.	P.(%)	95% C. I.(%)
20-29	131	102	77.9	69.9- 85.1
30-39	255	210	82.4	77.5- 87.3
40-49	159	141	88.7	83.5- 93.9
50-59	81	77	95.1	89.8-100.0
Total	626	530	84.7	81.8- 87.6

< Score Test for Trend by EGRET >

EGRET (R)

Epidemiological Graphics, Estimation, and Testing package
 ANALYSIS MODULE (PECAN), version 0.26.6 ; EPIXACT (R), version 0.03
 (c) Copyright 1985 - 1991, SERC and CYTEL

VARIABLES

1. AGE 2. HBV 3. N

ANALYSIS MODEL: Traditional 2xK table analysis
 EXPOSURE: Exposure Level Given by Variable: AGE
 GROUP SIZE: Fixed Group Size = 1
 OUTCOME SPECIFICATION: Outcome Variable Name: HBV
 REPETITION COUNT: Repetition Count Given by Variable: N

{X}
 {F}

FACTORED VARIABLES:

VARIABLE	#LEVELS	BASE
AGE	4	1

{A}
 AGE

		HBV		UNSTRATIFIED ASYMPTOTIC			
		0	1	ODDS RATIO MLE	CONFIDENCE INTERVAL 95% Cornfield		TEST FOR OR = 1 chisquare
1	29	102	1.33	.76	2.31	1.12	p=.289
2	45	210	2.23	1.12	4.44	6.17	p=.013
3	18	141	5.47	1.73	19.21	11.21	p<.001
4	4	77					
Test for trend:		14.28	p<.001	Test for OR = 1:		14.41	p=.002

Mantel's Extension Test

(Mantel 1963)

$$H_0 : \beta = 0$$

$$S^2_M = \frac{[\sum \{T_{1k} - (n_{k1}/n_{k2})T_{2k}\}]^2}{\sum [\{n_{k1}n_{k2}(m_k T_{3k} - T_{2k}^2) / \{m_k^2(m_k - 1)\}]} \approx \chi^2 \quad df = 1$$

$$\text{단, } T_{1k} = \sum a_{ki} e_{ki}, \quad T_{2k} = \sum m_{ki} e_{ki}, \quad T_{3k} = \sum m_{ki} e_{ki}^2$$

【예 제】 위의 예에서 B형간염 감염율의 연령별-출생지역별 분포가 아래와 같을 때, 출생지역을 보정한 상태에서도 연령에 따라 증가한다고 할 수 있겠는가?

Age	urban		rural	
	No. tested	No. (+)	No. tested	No. (+)
1	100	70	31	24
2	151	124	104	97
3	89	76	70	65
4	54	50	27	24
Total	394	320	232	210

< Adjusted Score Test for Trend by EGRET >

EGRET (R)

Epidemiological GRaphics, Estimation, and Testing package
 ANALYSIS MODULE (PECAN), version 0.26.6 : EPIXACT (R), version 0.03
 (c) Copyright 1985 - 1991, SERC and CYTEL

VARIABLES			
1. AGE	2. UR	3. HBV	4. N

FACTORED VARIABLES:

VARIABLE	#LEVELS	BASE
AGE	4	1
UR	2	1

{A}

STRATIFICATION VARIABLES	
a. UR	

AGE

		HBV		STRATIFIED: ASYMPT STATS FOR ALL 2 STRATA COMBINED			
		0	1	ODDS RATIO M-H	CONFIDENCE INTERVAL 95% Cornfield		TEST OR = 1 chisquare p-val
1		37	94				
2		34	221	2.26	1.30	4.05	9.56 p=.002 [Common odds: p=.270]
3		18	141	2.76	1.42	5.52	10.54 p=.001 [Common odds: p=.571]
4		7	74	4.13	1.63	10.76	11.23 p<.001 [Common odds: p=.370]

Test for trend: 14.04 p< .001 Test for OR = 1: 18.30 p< .001

2) Likelihood Ratio Test for Trend

< 일반 원칙 >

$$\text{logit } P_i(X_k) = \alpha_i$$

$$= \alpha_i + \beta_k \cdot X(\text{categorical}) : \text{각 폭로수준에 따른 OR}$$

$$= \alpha_i + \beta_1 \cdot X_k(\text{cotinuous}) : \text{폭로에 따른 log-RR 의 linear increase 평가}$$

$$= \alpha_i + \beta_1 \cdot X_k + \beta_2 \cdot X_k^2 : \text{폭로에 따른 log-RR 의 quadratic increase 평가}$$

【예 제】 음주와 식도암 발생과의 관련성을 검정하기 위한 환자-대조군 연구결과는 다음과 같았다.

Alcohol intake	CALC (100g/d)	ALCGRP	CASE	CONT	TOTAL
0-39(g/d)	0.2	1	29	386	415
40-79	0.6	2	75	280	355
80-119	1.0	3	51	87	138
120+	1.5	4	45	22	67
T o t a l			200	775	975

< GLIM Program and Output for LR Test for Trend >

[o] GLIM 3.77 update 1 (copyright)1985 Royal Statistical Society, London

[o]

[i] ? \$INP 8 80

[i] File name? C:\STAT\BRESLOW\ALC2.GLM

[i] \$INP? \$

[i] \$UNIT 88

[i] \$DATA AGE ALCGRP TOBGRP CASE CONT

```

[i] $READ
[i] 1 1 1 0 40 2 1 1 0 60 3 1 1 1 45 4 1 1 2 47
[i]                               5 1 1 5 43 6 1 1 1 17

```

```

. . . . .
[i] $FACT AGE 6 ALCGRP 4 TOBGRP 4
[i] $CAL N=CASE+CONT : ALC=ALCGRP : TOB=TOBGRP :
[i]       ALC2=ALC*ALC : TOB2=TOB*TOB
[i] $YVAR CASE $ERR B N

```

```

[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 210.27 at cycle 5
[o]       d.f. = 79

```

```

[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + TOBGRP + ALC $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 88.24 at cycle 5
[o]       d.f. = 78

```

```

[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + TOBGRP + ALCGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 82.34 at cycle 5
[o]       d.f. = 76

```

	estimate	s.e.	parameter
[o] 1	-6.895	1.083	1
[o] 2	1.981	1.102	AGE(2)
[o] 3	3.776	1.065	AGE(3)
[o] 4	4.335	1.062	AGE(4)
[o] 5	4.896	1.074	AGE(5)
[o] 6	4.827	1.119	AGE(6)
[o] 7	0.4381	0.2283	TOBG(2)
[o] 8	0.5126	0.2730	TOBG(3)
[o] 9	1.641	0.3441	TOBG(4)
[o] 10	1.435	0.2501	ALCG(2)
[o] 11	1.981	0.2848	ALCG(3)
[o] 12	3.603	0.3850	ALCG(4)

```

[o] scale parameter taken as 1.000

```

```

[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + TOBGRP + ALC + ALC2 $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 88.14 at cycle 5
[o]       d.f. = 77

```

```

[i] $STO

```

Models	No. of parameters	df	G
AGE + TOBGRP	9	79	$G_1 = 210.3$
AGE + TOBGRP + ALC	10	78	$G_2 = 88.2$
AGE + TOBGRP + ALCGRP	12	76	$G_3 = 82.3$
AGE + TOBGRP + ALC + ALC ²	11	77	$G_4 = 88.1$

- ① $[G_1-G_2]$: 'LR test for ALC' = 'LR test for linear trend'
 [ALC|AGE, TOBGRP] in log-RR with increasing exposure

$$\chi^2_{\text{trend}} = 122.1(1)$$

- ② $[G_1-G_3]$: 'LR test for ALCGRP'
 [ALCGRP|AGE, TOBGRP]

$$\chi^2_{\text{LR}} = 128(3)$$

- ③ $[G_2-G_3]$: 'Test for departure from the linear model'

$$\chi^2_{\text{departure}} = 5.9(2)$$

→ model fitting 때 유용

- ④ $[G_2-G_4]$: 'LR test for curvature' in the regression line
 → log-RR 에 미치는 exposure 의 quadratic effect 를 평가

$$\chi^2_{\text{curvature}} = 0.1(1)$$

Adjustment for Confounders by Linear Logistic Model

1) Demonstration of Confounder in a Case-Control Study

- < 단계 1 > crude ≠ adjusted measures 확인
 < 단계 2 > $OR_{Ref:D(-)} \neq 1$ 동시에
 < 단계 3 > $OR_{dr:E(-)} \neq 1$ 일 때

초경 연령	환자군	대조군	OR
합계			
14세 미만	138	100	1.0
14세 이상	112	150	1.85 (1.28-2.68)
진단시연령 (50세 미만)			
14세 미만	12	54	1.0
14세 이상	33	126	0.85 (0.38-1.86)
진단시연령 (50세 이상)			
14세 미만	126	46	1.0
14세 이상	79	24	0.83 (0.45-1.52)

- < 단계 1 > crude OR = 1.85
 연령 adjusted OR = $OR_{mh} = 0.84$
 □ 연령 stratum-specific OR (1) = 0.85
 □ 연령 stratum-specific OR (2) = 0.83
- < 단계 2 > 대조군에서의 OR (연령 vs 초경연령)
 = $(54 \times 24) / (126 \times 46) = 0.22 \neq 1$
- < 단계 3 > 비폭로군에서의 OR (연령 vs 유암발생)
 = $(12 \times 46) / (126 \times 54) = 0.08 \neq 1$

2) Strategy for Adjustment by Linear Logistic Model

Logistic model 을 이용한 confounder 보정

- ① confounder 를 층화변수로 하여 각 층마다 logistic model 을 적용
- ② 보다 쉬운 방법은 model 내에 교란효과를 수학적으로 흡수
(장점) { 여러 형태 ($X, X^2, \log(X), \sqrt{X} \dots$) 의 modelling 이 가능
 continuous nuisance factors 처리 가능
- ③ 모델 구축시 무조건 집어 넣는게 아니라 층화분석단계에서 충분히 검토
- ④ confounder 와 RF 는 동일시 하지 말 것
 → RF 영향을 평가함을 잊지 말 것
- ⑤ confounder 의 숫자는 경제적으로 잘 조절할 것
- ⑥ 합리적이고 유의한 변수로 구성되는 'multivariate risk equation'
- ⑦ 보고자 하는 RR 은 가능한 confounding effect 를 제거한 결과로 산출
- ⑧ 기존에 알려진 confounding variables 들은 비록 그 변수를 모델에 것을 집어 넣음으로써 risk variable 의 coefficients 가 변화 된다 하더라도, 그 통계적 유의성에 관계없이 model 에 집어 넣을 것

< GLIM Program and Output for Adjustment for Confounder >

```

[o] GLIM 3.77 update 1 (copyright)1985 Royal Statistical Society, London
[i] File name? C:\PM\Y00\ES02.GLM
[i] $UNIT 88
[i] $DATA AGE ALCGRP TOBGRP CASE CONT
[i] $READ
[i] 1 1 1 0 40 2 1 1 0 60 3 1 1 1 45 4 1 1 2 47
[i]                               5 1 1 5 43 6 1 1 1 17
. . . . .

[i] $FACT AGE 6 ALCGRP 4 TOBGRP 4
[i] $CAL N=CASE+CONT :
[i]     AGE1=AGE :
[i]     AGE2=AGE1**2 : AGE3=AGE1**3 : AGE4=AGE1**4
[i] $YVAR CASE $ERR B N
[o]
[i] $FIT TOBGRP + ALCGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 208.83 at cycle 4
[o]     d.f. = 81
[o]
[o]     estimate      s.e.      parameter
[o] 1      -2.814      0.2110      1
[o] 2       0.3862      0.2116      TOBG(2)
[o] 3       0.4251      0.2562      TOBG(3)
[o] 4       0.9877      0.2894      TOBG(4)
[o] 5        1.225      0.2343      ALCG(2)
[o] 6        1.998      0.2643      ALCG(3)
[o] 7         3.180      0.3273      ALCG(4)
[o] scale parameter taken as 1.000
[o]
[i] $FIT TOBGRP + ALCGRP + AGE1 $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 101.89 at cycle 4
[o]     d.f. = 80
[o]
[i] $FIT TOBGRP + ALCGRP + AGE1 + AGE2 $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 84.61 at cycle 4
[o]     d.f. = 79
[o]
[i] $FIT TOBGRP + ALCGRP + AGE1 + AGE2 + AGE3 $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 83.96 at cycle 5
[o]     d.f. = 78
[o]
[i] $FIT TOBGRP + ALCGRP + AGE1 + AGE2 + AGE3 + AGE4 $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 83.85 at cycle 5
[o]     d.f. = 77
[i] $STOP

```

Risk category	T y p e o f a n a l y s i s					
	Unadjusted	Polynomial adjustment for age group				Stratified by age
		Linear	Quadratic	Cubic	Quartic	
Tobacco (g/day)						
0-9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10-19	0.39	0.46	0.44	0.43	0.43	0.44
20-29	0.43	0.55	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.51
30+	0.99	1.52	1.63	1.63	1.64	1.64
Alcohol (g/day)						
0-39	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
40-79	1.23	1.53	1.44	1.44	1.44	1.44
80-119	2.00	2.17	1.99	2.00	1.99	1.98
120+	3.18	3.60	3.57	3.58	3.59	3.60
Goddness-of-fit						
G	208.8	101.9	84.6	84.0	83.8	82.3
df	81	80	79	78	77	76

<해석>

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} G(\text{unadj}) = 208.8(81) \\ G(\text{adj}) = 82.3(76) \end{array} \right\} 126.5(5) \text{ 로 매우 큰 차이}$$

⇒ age 는 ESOCA 에 매우 중요한 영향

② RR 들을 보면 별로 차이가 없다

⇒ age 의 confounding effect 는 별로 없다

즉, AGE ↔ ALC, AGE ↔ TOB 는 서로 weakly correlated 되어 있어 strong confounder 아님

③ Polynomial term 을 넣음으로써 보다 효과적으로 control 가능

⇒ 일반적으로 "logistic regression adjustment" 가 좋은 방법이다.

3) Estimation of Adjusted MLE with Intervals

< GLIM Program and Output for Estimation of Adjusted MLE >

```

[i] $FACT AGE 6 ALCGRP 4 TOBGRP 4
[i] $CAL N=CASE+CONT :
[i]     AGE1=AGE :
[i]     AGE2=AGE1**2 : AGE3=AGE1**3 : AGE4=AGE1**4
[i] $YVAR CASE $ERR B N
[o]
[i] $FIT TOBGRP + ALCGRP + AGE1 $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 101.89 at cycle 4
[o]     d.f. = 80
[o]
[o]     estimate      s.e.      parameter
[o] 1      -5.904      0.4446      1
[o] 2       0.4567      0.2274      TOBG(2)
[o] 3       0.5483      0.2730      TOBG(3)
[o] 4       1.519      0.3242      TOBG(4)
[o] 5       1.531      0.2538      ALCG(2)
[o] 6       2.173      0.2854      ALCG(3)
[o] 7       3.599      0.3656      ALCG(4)
[o] 8       0.7676      0.08286     AGE1
[o] scale parameter taken as 1.000
[o]

```

< Estimation of Adjusted MLE by EGRET >

```

OUTCOME= CASE
TERM                COEFFICIENT    STD ERROR    P-VALUE     ODDS RATIO
%GM                 -5.904        (.445)       <.001       .2727E-02
TOBGRP='2'         .4567         (.228)       .045        1.579
TOBGRP='3'         .5483         (.273)       .045        1.730
TOBGRP='4'         1.519         (.324)       <.001       4.569
ALCGRP='2'         1.531         (.254)       <.001       4.624
ALCGRP='3'         2.173         (.286)       <.001       8.783
ALCGRP='4'         3.599         (.366)       <.001       36.56
AGE                 .7676         (.829E-01)  <.001       2.155
DEVIANCE ON 80 DF = 101.889
LIKELIHOOD RATIO STATISTIC ON 8 DF = 628.213, p < .001

```

RESULTS

[LR]

OUTCOME= CASE			
TERM	ODDS RATIO	95% CONFIDENCE BOUNDS	
%GM	.2727E-02	.1140E-02	.6524E-02
TOBGRP='2'	1.579	1.011	2.466
TOBGRP='3'	1.730	1.013	2.955
TOBGRP='4'	4.569	2.420	8.627
ALCGRP='2'	4.624	2.811	7.606
ALCGRP='3'	8.783	5.019	15.37
ALCGRP='4'	36.56	17.85	74.88
AGE	2.155	1.831	2.535

Table. Adjusted risk of esophageal cancer associated with alcohol drinking

Alcohol drinking (g/d)	No. of cases	of controls	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ¹⁾
0 - 39	29	386	1.0
40 - 79	75	280	4.6 (2.81-7.61)
80 - 119	51	87	8.8 (5.02-15.4)
120+	45	22	36.6 (17.9-74.9)
			$\chi^2_{\text{trend}} = 122.1(1), p < 0.01$

¹⁾ Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals were derived from regression coefficients and standard error in linear logistic models. Adjustment for age categories of linear term and categorized amount of cigarette smoking was done.

< GLIM Program and Output for Model Selection >

```

[i] File name? C:\PM\Y00\ES03.GLM
[i] $FACT AGE 6 ALCGRP 4 TOBGRP 4
[i] $CAL N=CASE+CONT
[i] $YVAR CASE $ERR B N
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + ALCGRP + TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 82.34 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 76
[i] $PRINT 'PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = ' %X2
[o] PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = 86.46
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 210.27 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 79
[i] $PRINT 'PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = ' %X2
[o] PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = 347.8
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + ALCGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 105.88 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 79
[i] $PRINT 'PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = ' %X2
[o] PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = 117.4
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 246.91 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 82
[i] $PRINT 'PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = ' %X2
[o] PEARSON GOODNESS OF FIT = 362.5

```

Table. Summary of model fitting

M o d e l	Log-likelihood	Goodness of fit
AGE + ALCGRP + TOBGRP	82.34 (76) ←	86.46
AGE + TOBGRP	210.27 (79)	347.80
AGE + ALCGRP	105.88 (79)	117.40
AGE	246.91 (82)	362.50

2) Likelihood Ratio Test

: '그 변수가 들어있는 모델이 결과변수를 설명하는 능력이
그 변수가 들어있지 않는 모델이 결과변수를 설명하는 능력에
비해 더 많은 기여를 하고 있는가?'

$$\Delta G = \text{change in scaled deviance}$$

$$= [\text{LR without the variable}] - [\text{LR with the variable}]$$

$$= \left[-2 \log \frac{\ell(\beta) \text{ of the current model}}{\ell(\beta) \text{ of the saturated model without the variable}} \right] - \left[-2 \log \frac{\ell(\beta) \text{ of the current model}}{\ell(\beta) \text{ of the saturated model with the variable}} \right]$$

$$= \left[-2 \log \frac{\ell(\beta) \text{ without the variable}}{\ell(\beta) \text{ with the variable}} \right]$$

* LR :
 [① 특정 분포를 따르지 않음
 ② goodness of fit 에 중요
 ③ scaled deviance (GLIM), G (Breslow-Day)

* LR statistic :
 [① χ^2 분포를 따름
 ② goodness of fit 에 이용하려면 data 가 커야 함
 → nature of departure 가 더 민감한 방법
 ③ change in scaled deviance (GLIM),
 ΔG (Breslow-Day)

Wald test : standardized regression coefficient

$H_0 : \beta = 0$ 일때

$$W = \frac{\beta_1}{SE(\beta_1)} \approx Nd$$

$$W^2 = \left(\frac{\beta_1}{SE(\beta_1)} \right)^2 \approx \chi^2(1)$$

< GLIM Program and Output for Likelihood Ratio Test >

```

[i] File name? C:\PM\Y00\ES04.GLM
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + ALCGRP + TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 82.34 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 76
[i] $FIT - ALCGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 210.27 (change = +127.9) at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 79 (change = +3 )
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + ALCGRP + TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 82.34 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 76
[i] $FIT - TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 105.88 (change = +23.54) at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 79 (change = +3 )
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + ALCGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 105.88 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 79
[i] $FIT - ALCGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 246.91 (change = +141.0) at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 82 (change = +3 )
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 210.27 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 79
[i] $FIT - TOBGRP $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 246.91 (change = +36.64) at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 82 (change = +3 )
[o]
[i] $FIT - AGE $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 367.95 (change = +121.0) at cycle 3
[o] d.f. = 87 (change = +5 )
[o]
[i] $STOP

```

Table. Summary of likelihood ratio tests

Model	Log-likelihood	ΔG (Δdf)	Interpretation
AGE + ALCGRP + TOBGRP	$G_1 = 82.34$ (76)	$G_2 - G_1 = 128(3)$	ALCGRP ; AGE, TOBGRP
AGE + TOBGRP	$G_2 = 210.27$ (79)	$G_3 - G_1 = 24(3)$	TOBGRP ; AGE, ALCGRP
AGE + ALCGRP	$G_3 = 105.88$ (79)	$G_4 - G_3 = 141(3)$	ALCGRP ; AGE
AGE	$G_4 = 246.91$ (82)	$G_4 - G_2 = 37(3)$	TOBGRP ; AGE
Null	$G_5 = 367.95$ (87)	$G_5 - G_4 = 121(5)$	AGE

3) Quantitative Interpretation of Linear Logistic Model

【예 제】 음주와 식도암 발생과의 관련성을 검정하기 위한 환자-대조군 연구결과는 다음과 같았다.

Alcohol intake	CALC (100g/d)	ALCGRP	CASE	CONT	TOTAL
0-39(g/d)	0.2	1	29	386	415
40-79	0.6	2	75	280	355
80-119	1.0	3	51	87	138
120+	1.5	4	45	22	67
T o t a l			200	775	975

↑ midpoint coding ↑ qualitative coding

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{logit } P_i = & - 7.271 \\
 & + 1.851 \text{ AGE}(2) + 3.610 \text{ AGE}(3) + 4.160 \text{ AGE}(4) \\
 & + 4.696 \text{ AGE}(5) + 4.665 \text{ AGE}(6) \\
 & + \underline{2.548} \text{ CALC} && (\text{SE}_{\text{ALC}} = \underline{0.2504}) \\
 & + 0.409 \text{ CTOB} && (\text{SE}_{\text{TOB}} = \underline{0.08718})
 \end{aligned}$$

< 해 석 > $\exp(2.548) = 12.78$ (per 100g/d)

→ ALC의 단위 증가량 증가 (10g/d)에 따른 위험도는

$$= \exp(2.548/10) = 1.29$$

$$95\% \text{ CI} = \exp(2.548/10 \pm 1.96 * 0.2504/10)$$

$$= 1.22-1.36$$

$\exp(0.409) = 1.51$ (per 10g/d)

→ TOB의 단위 증가량 (10g/d)에 따라 1.51 배씩 RR 증가

$$95\% \text{ CI} = \exp(0.409 \pm 1.96 * 0.08718)$$

$$= 1.27-1.79$$

4) Joint Odds Ratio Estimation

< GLIM Program and Output for Joint Odds Ratio Estimation >

```

[i] File name? C:\PM\Y00\ES06.GLM
[i] $FACT AGE 6 ALCGRP 4 TOBGRP 4
[i] $CAL N=CASE+CONT : CALC=ALCGRP : CTOB=TOBGRP
[i] $YVAR CASE $ERR B N
[o]
[i] $FIT AGE + ALCGRP + TOBGRP $D E V C
[o] scaled deviance = 82.34 at cycle 5
[o] d.f. = 76
[o]
[o] estimate s.e. parameter
[o] 1 -6.895 1.083 1
[o] 2 1.981 1.102 AGE(2)
[o] 3 3.776 1.065 AGE(3)
[o] 4 4.335 1.062 AGE(4)
[o] 5 4.896 1.074 AGE(5)
[o] 6 4.827 1.119 AGE(6)
[o] 7 1.435 0.2501 ALCG(2)
[o] 8 1.981 0.2848 ALCG(3)
[o] 9 3.603 0.3850 ALCG(4)
[o] 10 0.4381 0.2283 TOBG(2)
[o] 11 0.5126 0.2730 TOBG(3)
[o] 12 1.641 0.3441 TOBG(4)
[o] scale parameter taken as 1.000
[o]
[o] (Co)variances of parameter estimates
[o] 7 0.06253
[o] 8 0.04266 0.08109
[o] 9 0.04406 0.04401 0.1482
[o] 10 -0.0004504 -0.005430 -0.001091 0.05213
[o] 11 -0.004501 -0.001079 -0.003470 0.02038 0.07451
[o] 12 0.005281 0.005291 0.008115 0.02107 0.02136 0.1184
[o] 7 8 9 10 11 12
[o] scale parameter taken as 1.000
[i] $STOP

```

ALCGRP(2) 이고 TOBGRP(3) 인 경우의 joint RR 은 ?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{logit } P_i &= - 6.895 \\ &+ 1.981 \text{ AGE}(2) + 3.776 \text{ AGE}(3) + 4.335 \text{ AGE}(4) \\ &+ 4.896 \text{ AGE}(5) + 4.827 \text{ AGE}(6) \\ &+ \underline{1.435} \text{ ALCGRP}(2) + 1.981 \text{ ALCGRP}(3) + 3.603 \text{ ALCGRP}(4) \\ &+ 0.438 \text{ TOBGRP}(2) + \underline{0.513} \text{ TOBGRP}(3) + 1.641 \text{ TOBGRP}(4) \\ \\ &\underline{SE}_{\text{ALCGRP}(2)} = 0.2501, \quad \underline{SE}_{\text{TOBGRP}(3)} = 0.2730 \\ &\underline{\text{COV}}_{\text{ALCGRP}(2) \cdot \text{TOBGRP}(3)} = -0.004501 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RR (ALCGRP=2, TOBGRP=3 ; a11)} \\ &= \exp [\beta_{\text{ALCGRP}(2)} \cdot (X_2^* - X_2) + \beta_{\text{TOBGRP}(3)} \cdot (X_3^* - X_3)] \\ &= \exp [1.435 \times 1 + 0.5126 \times 1] \\ &= 7.0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} &= \text{SE} [\beta_{\text{ALCGRP}(2)}]^2 + \text{SE} [\beta_{\text{TOBGRP}(3)}]^2 + 2 \cdot \text{COV} [\beta_{\text{ALCGRP}(2)}, \beta_{\text{TOBGRP}(3)}] \\ &= 0.25012 + 0.27302 + 2 \cdot (-0.004501) \\ &= 0.128 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SE} [\beta_{\text{ALCGRP}(2)}, \beta_{\text{TOBGRP}(3)}] = \sqrt{0.128} = 0.3578$$

$$\begin{aligned} 95\% \text{ C. I.} &= \exp [1.9476 \pm 1.96 * 0.3578] \\ &= [3.48 \sim 14.14] \end{aligned}$$

5) Quantitative Interpretation of Non-linear Logistic Model

logit Pi =	
- 0.2808 log(DUBF+1)	(SE _{log(DUBF+1)} = 0.1225)
+ 1.6560 FHX	(SE _{FHX} = 1.1300)
+ 0.0634 log(FFTP+1)	(SE _{log(FFTP+1)} = 0.0316)
+ 0.0681 CLVB	(SE _{CLVB} = 0.1454)

DUBF 1명 증가에 따른 위험도의 증가는 ? 95% 신뢰구간은 ?

$$\begin{aligned} RR &= (DUBF + 1)^{-0.2808} \\ &= 2^{-0.2808} = 0.8231 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 95\% \text{ CI} &= 2^{(-0.2808 \pm 1.96 \times 0.1225)} \\ &= [0.70 \sim 0.97] \end{aligned}$$

CLVB 5명 증가에 따른 위험도의 증가는 ? 95% 신뢰구간은 ?

$$RR = \exp [5 \times 0.0681] = 1.40$$

$$\begin{aligned} 95\% \text{ CI} &= \exp [5 \times 0.0681 \pm 1.96 \times 5 \times 0.1454] \\ &= [0.34 \sim 5.84] \end{aligned}$$

Conditional Linear Logistic Regression Analysis

1) Estimation of Conditional MLE using Linear Logistic Model to Fit 1:1 Matched Data

1:1 로 짝지은 이분성인 폭로요인 X 에 대해 변수 X_{i0} 가 환자군에서 관찰되며 동시에 변수 X_{i1} 가 대조군에서 관찰되는 조건부 확률은 다음과 같다 (Holford 등 1978).

$$\frac{\exp[(X_{i0} - X_{i1}) * \beta]}{1 + \exp[(X_{i0} - X_{i1}) * \beta]}$$

이 조건부 확률은 다음과 같은 수학적 변환을 거치면 linear logistic model 의 형태를 따르게 된다.

- ① covariates are difference between case and control : $(X_{i0} - X_{i1})$
- ② conditioning of every outcome to be success : $Y = 1$
- ③ dropping intercept term from the model

< GLIM Program and Output for Conditional Linear Logistic Regression >

```

$UNIT 75
$DATA GR1 SEX1 MRA1 QBY1 STL1 MAG1 FAG1
      GR2 SEX2 MRA2 QBY2 STL2 MAG2 FAG2
$READ
1 1 1 1 0 19 28 2 1 1 1 0 19 28
1 1 1 1 0 32 37 2 1 1 1 0 24 25
. . . . .
$CAL STLB = STL1 - STL2 :
      MAGE = MAG1 - MAG2 : FAGE = FAG1 - FAG2 : N = 1
$YVAR N      $ERR B N
$FIT - %GM + STLB + MAGE + FAGE      $D E
$FIT - STLB                          $D E
$STOP

```

< Conditional Linear Logistic Regression by EGRET >

Data file name: C:\PM\Y00\RHABD

8 variables and 166 observations

VARIABLES

1. MATCH	2. DISEASE	3. SEX	4. RACE	5. QBYR
6. STLBN	7. MAGE	8. FAGE		

ANALYSIS MODEL: Conditional logistic regression
 RISK TYPE: Relative risk (multiplicative)
 MATCHING: Match on the Values of Variable: MATCH
 OUTCOME SPECIFICATION: Outcome Variable Name: DISEASE

FACTORED VARIABLES:

VARIABLE	#LEVELS	BASE
STLBN	2	0

REGRESSION TERMS

[CLR]

a. STLBN	b. MAGE	c. FAGE
----------	---------	---------

[Using 83 matched sets]

RESULTS

[CLR]

OUTCOME= DISEASE

TERM	COEFFICIENT	STD ERROR	P-VALUE	ODDS RATIO
STLBN='1'	-1.385	(.502)	.006	.2503
MAGE	.6404E-01	(.553E-01)	.247	1.066
FAGE	-.6473E-01	(.520E-01)	.213	.9373

DEVIANCE = 102.785

LIKELIHOOD RATIO STATISTIC ON 3 DF = 12.278, p = .006

RESULTS

[CLR]

OUTCOME= DISEASE

TERM	ODDS RATIO	95% CONFIDENCE BOUNDS
STLBN='1'	.2503	.9356E-01 .6697
MAGE	1.066	.9566 1.188
FAGE	.9373	.8465 1.038

Application of Log-Linear Model

< GLIM Program and Output for Log-Linear Regression Model >

```

[i] File name? C:\PM\YOO\FRAMING.GLM
[o]
[i] $UNITS 32
[i] $DATA BP CHOL DIS FREQ
[i] $READ
[i] 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 4 1 2 4
[i] 1 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 0 4 2 2 3
[i] $FACTOR BP 4 CHOL 4 DIS 2 . . . . .
[i] $YVAR FREQ
[i] $ERR P
[o]
[i] $FIT BP*CHOL*DIS - BP.CHOL.DIS $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 8.0762 at cycle 4
[o] d.f. = 9
[o]
[o] estimate s.e. parameter
[o] 1 4.749 0.09226 1
[o] 2 0.04237 0.1286 BP(2)
[o] 3 -0.8879 0.1692 BP(3)
[o] 4 -1.588 0.2184 BP(4)
[o] 5 -0.2962 0.1411 CHOL(2)
[o] 6 0.04280 0.1283 CHOL(3)
[o] 7 -0.5562 0.1503 CHOL(4)
[o] 8 -3.482 0.3486 DIS(2)
[o] 9 0.08645 0.1945 BP(2).CHOL(2)
[o] 10 0.5092 0.1701 BP(2).CHOL(3)
[o] 11 0.3674 0.1988 BP(2).CHOL(4)
[o] 12 0.1547 0.2512 BP(3).CHOL(2)
[o] 13 0.3124 0.2235 BP(3).CHOL(3)
[o] 14 0.5553 0.2462 BP(3).CHOL(4)
[o] 15 0.1911 0.3200 BP(4).CHOL(2)
[o] 16 0.5233 0.2757 BP(4).CHOL(3)
[o] 17 0.8491 0.2936 BP(4).CHOL(4)
[o] 18 -0.04146 0.3037 BP(2).DIS(2)
[o] 19 0.5324 0.3324 BP(3).DIS(2)
[o] 20 1.200 0.3269 BP(4).DIS(2)
[o] 21 -0.2080 0.4664 CHOL(2).DIS(2)
[o] 22 0.5622 0.3508 CHOL(3).DIS(2)
[o] 23 1.344 0.3430 CHOL(4).DIS(2)
[o] scale parameter taken as 1.000
[o]
[i] $STOP

```

< GLIM Program and Output for Constant Hazard Model >

```

[i] File name? C:\PM\YOO\MIRATE.GLM
[o]
[i] $UNITS 15
[i] $DATA FAIL PT
[i] $READ
[i] 2 4056 4 2892 2 1728 2 4172 3 3467 1 2183 7 4616 6 3835
[i] 6 3102 2 888 5 1101 2 1674 6 2456 10 3740 46 7269
[i] $CAL SMOK =%GL(5,3) : LEUK=%GL(3,1) :
[i] LPT=%LOG(PT) : RATE =1000*FAIL/PT : LRATE=%LOG(RATE)
[i] $FACT SMOK 5 LEUK 3
[o]
[i] $TPRINT (S=1) RATE:FAIL:PT LEUK:SMOK
[o]
[o] SMOK : 1 2 3 4 5
[o] LEUK :
[o] +-----+
[o] 1 RATE : 0.4931 0.4794 1.5165 2.2523 2.4430
[o] FAIL : 2.000 2.000 7.000 2.000 6.000
[o] PT : 4056.0 4172.0 4616.0 888.0 2456.0
[o] +-----+
[o] 2 RATE : 1.3831 0.8653 1.5645 4.5413 2.6738
[o] FAIL : 4.000 3.000 6.000 5.000 10.000
[o] PT : 2892.0 3467.0 3835.0 1101.0 3740.0
[o] +-----+
[o] 3 RATE : 1.1574 0.4581 1.9342 1.1947 6.3282
[o] FAIL : 2.000 1.000 6.000 2.000 46.000
[o] PT : 1728.0 2183.0 3102.0 1674.0 7269.0
[o] +-----+
[o]
[i] $YVAR FAIL
[i] $ERR P
[i] $OFFSET LPT ← OFFSET
[o]
[i] $FIT SMOK + LEUK $D E
[o] scaled deviance = 9.704 at cycle 4
[o] d.f. = 8
[o]
[o] estimate s.e. parameter
[o] 1 -7.246 0.3917 1
[o] 2 -0.4329 0.5402 SMOK(2)
[o] 3 0.5313 0.4219 SMOK(3)
[o] 4 0.8205 0.4901 SMOK(4)
[o] 5 1.405 0.3842 SMOK(5)
[o] 6 0.2890 0.2992 LEUK(2)
[o] 7 0.6470 0.2763 LEUK(3)
[o] scale parameter taken as 1.000
[o]
[i] $STOP

```

< GLIM Program and Output for Proportional Hazard Model >

```
[i] File name? C:\PM\Y00\COX.GLM
[o]
[i] $UNIT 135
[i] $DATA TIME ← FAILURE TIME
[i] $READ
[i] 157 134 212 77 71 130 21 22 101
[i] 139 110 136 68 63 72 17 18 63
. . . . .
[o]
[i] $DATA DTHS ← NUMBER OD DEATH
[i] $READ
[i] 9 12 42 5 4 28 1 1 19
[i] 2 7 26 2 3 19 1 1 11
. . . . .
[i] $CAL STAG=%GL(3,1) : HIST=%GL(3,3) : FLUP=%GL(15,9) :
[i] LTIM=%LOG(TIME) :
[i] WT=%GT(TIME,0)
[i] $FACT STAG 3 HIST 3 FLUP 15
[i] $YVAR DTHS
[i] $ERR P
[i] $OFFSET LTIM ← OFFSET
[i] $WEIGHT WT
[o]
[i] $FIT STAG*HIST + FLUP $D E
[o]
[i] $STOP
```
