# THE EFFECT OF GINSENG ON THE POSTOPERATIVE NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND IMMUNE FUNCTIONS OF GASTRIC CARCINOMA PATIENTS

Y.M. Woo, H.W. Lee, J.P. Kim

Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, Seoul National University

## **ABSTRACT**

To find out the mechanisms of anticancer effect of ginseng, we performed prospective randomized study for the 39 patients with stomach cancer who had undergone radical subtotal or total gastrectomy and postoperative immunochemotherapy with PMF from July 1991 to November 1991 at Department of Surgery, Seoul National University Hospital.

The patients were randomly divided into study and control group: the study group was administered with powdered red ginseng of 5400mg daily dose for 12 months postoperatively, the control group was simply followed - up.

There were no significant differences between study and control group in twelve months postoperative body weights, triceps skin fold, hemoglobin, albumin, total protein, PNI, total WBC and lymphocyte count, and T-cell percentage (p>0.05). The results of preoperative DNCB skin test of study group was (0) for 8 patients and (+) for 12 patiens, but after operation, it showed the tendency of decreasing (0) and (+) ratio and increasing tendency of (++) ratio (p<0.05), but those of control group showed no increasing tendency of the degree of positive DNCB skin test.

From the above results, we could conclude that ginseng had anti – cancer effect by the way of immune mechanism and could be an adjunct to the patients with stomach cancer postoperatively.

#### INTRODUCTION

Ginseng has been used as herb medicine and vital – additive drug for long time and analysis of compositions of ginseng is under study recently<sup>4, 26)</sup>. Some people are in favor of anti – cancer effect of ginseng, but the effect may not be constant and negligible. Furthermore, other people do not agree with that ginseng has anti – cancer effect. Many different result about the ginseng may be due to different methodology, technique and different composition of ginseng. But the anti – tumor growth effect is now acceptable to many oncologists.

The anticancer effect of ginseng is explainable by two mechanisms. The one is direct cancer cell killing effect of some composition of ginseng<sup>3, 11, 13, 22)</sup> and the other mechanism is reinforcement of immune fuction of host, especially immune surveillance mechanism<sup>7, 23, 27)</sup>. Other mechanisms include increasement of BMR<sup>24)</sup>, hydrocortisone – like action<sup>15)</sup>, influence on total protein<sup>21)</sup>, the change of the composition of the serum protein<sup>18)</sup> increasement of blood cell<sup>17)</sup>, and alteration of tumorigenesis

induced by  $MMNG(N - methyl - N' - nitro - N - nitrosoguanidine)^{28)}$ .

So, this study systematically confirmed the anti-cancer effect of longterm administration of ginseng to gastric cancer patients on postoperative nutritional and immune status. We used red ginseng as adjuvant therapy for gastric cancer and studied the anti-cancer machanism of ginseng.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The patients were diagnosed as gastric cancer through fluoroscopy, endoscopy, and biopsy from July 1991 to November 1991. They all underwent radical subtotal gastrectomy or total gastrectomy by same operator in the Department of Surgery, Seoul National University Hospital.

They all received adjuvant immunochemotherapy with Picibanil, Mitomycin, 5 - Fluorouracil. And they were divided into study and control groups by random sampling. Ginseng powder was administered by oral route to the study group and the control group was not. The red ginseng powder was prepared from 6 - year old red gingeng root and 5400 mg(54 capsules) was given daily by oral route to the study group for 12 months.

We also examined changes of weight, triceps skin fold, appetite, serum albumin, serum total protein, hemoglobin, skin hypersensitivity to 2, 4 - dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB). We also examined T - cell percentage, total lymphocyte count as immunologic parameters. We used Mann - Whitney U test as statistical analysis.

### 1. Prognostic Nutritional Index (PNI)

There are many ways to represent nutritional status, we used prognostic nutritional index (PNI) as representing the nutritional status.

PNI(%) = 158 - 16.6(ALB) - 0.78(TSF) - 0.20(TFN) - 5.8(DH)

PNI =risk(percent) of a complication occurring

in an individual patient

ALB = serum albumin level(grams per 100 mililiters)

TSF = triceps skinfold(milimeters)

TFN = serum transferrin level (miligrams per 100 mililiters)

DH = cutaneous delayed hypersensitivity

## 2. Cutaneous hypersensitivity to DNCB

We used the method of Eilber and Morton for measurement of cutaneous hypersensitivity. After cleasing of volar side of upper arm of the patients, 1cc of acetone solution which contained  $2000_{\mu} g$  of DNCB was painted as large as 2.2cm. Seven to 14 days after painting, we judged as following criteria.

O : No response or less than 5 mm

1+:5-10 mm

2+ : Above 10 mm, presence of blister

## 3. Measurement of triceps skin fold

We used Lange skinfold caliper for measurement of triceps skin fold. And we repeated three times and get the mean of those.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 1. Results.(Table 1, - 11.)

**Tbale 1.** Comparison of pre - operative status for the study and control group

	Hemoglobin	Total lymphocyte count	Serum protein	Serum albumin
Study group	13.0± 1.5	2135 ± 693	$6.9 \pm 0.4$	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Control group	12.7 ± 1.3	1906± 677	$6.7 \pm 0.6$	$3.6 \pm 0.4$
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

**Table 2.** Comparison of pre - operative status for the study and control group

	Weight (kg)	Triceps skin fold (mm)	PNI*
Study group	60.3±9	15.5 ± 8.4	27.7± 15.5
Control group	58.9±9	15.0± 7.1	$30.0 \pm 10.1$
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

<sup>\*</sup> PNI: Prognostic Nutritional Index

**Table 3.** Comparison of body weight of post-operative status for the study and control group(kg)

	post - op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	56.1	55.3	55.7
Control group	54.3	53.5	52.4
p value	>0.05	≥0.05	>0.05

Table 4. Comparison of triceps skin fold of post - operative status for the study and control group(cm)

	post – op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	12.9	12.2	12.0
Control group	12.5	10.6	9.9
p value	>0.05	>0.05	≥0.05

**Table 5.** Comparison of hemoglobin of post-operative status for the study and control group(g%)

	post - op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	12.2	12.6	12.2
Control group	12.0	11.6	11.6
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

**Table 6.** Comparison of serum protein of post – operative status for the study and control group( $g^*$ )

	post - op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	7.1	7.1	7.0
Control group	6.9	7.0	7.2
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

**Table 7.** Comparison of serum albumin of post – operative status for the study and control group(g%)

	post - op.3mo.	post – op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	3.8	4.0	4.0
Control group	3.6	3.8	3.7
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

**Table 8.** Comparison of transferrin of post - operative status for the study and control group(g%)

	post - op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	303.9	329.9	350.9
Control group	330.9	324.7	315.5
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

**Table 9.** Comparison of PNI of post – operative status for the study and control group(%)

	post - op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	12.7	9.9	10.8
Control group	8.1	14.6	13.5
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

**Table 10.** Comparison of total WBC count and lymphocyte fraction of post – operative status for the study and control group(cells/mm³)

	post - op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	5862(34.0%)	5878(33.8%)	6010(35.5%)
Control group	5392(34.3%)	6243(30.0%)	6420(36.0%)
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

**Table 11.** Comparison of T - cell percent of post - operative status for the study and control group(%)

	post – op.3mo.	post - op.6mo.	post - op.12mo.
Study group	72.1	70.5	68.8
Control group	67.8	62.8	64.4
p value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05

- 1) There were 20 patients(11 male and 9 female) from 28 to 67 in age in the study group and their average age was 53.3 years. There were 19 patients (10 male and 9 female) from 33 to 66 in age in the study group and their average age was 53.3 years. There were 19 patients (10 male and 9 female) from 33 to 66 in age in the study group and their average age was 54.8 years. Total gastrectomy was performed in 4 cases of each cases the remainder were subtotal gastrectomized patients. Postoperative immunochemotherapy was performed in 17 cases of study group and 16 cases of control group. One patient died from recurrence of gastric carcinoma in each group respectively and one patient of control group was confirmed of recurrence of the disease.
- 2) The followings are nutritional and immunologic parameters of preoperative status.
- (1) The average weight of study group was  $60.3\pm 9.0$ kg, that of control group  $58.9\pm 9.0$ kg. The average triceps skin fold was  $15.5\pm 8.4$  mm, that of control group  $15.0\pm 7.1$  mm.
- (2) The average level of hemoglobin of study group was  $13.0\pm1.5$ kg, that of control group  $12.7\pm1.3$ kg. The average level of albumin of study group was  $3.6\pm0.4$  g%, that of control group  $15.0\pm7.1$  mg%. The average level of transferrin of study group was  $284.2\pm0.4$  mg%, that of control group  $237.8\pm43.7$  mg%.
- (3) The PNI of study group was  $27.7 \pm 15.5$ , that of control group  $30.0 \pm 10.1$ .
- (4) The average total count of WBC of study group was  $7004\pm2275.8$ , that of control group  $7062\pm3087.5$ . The average fraction of lymphocyte of study group was  $30.6\pm7.9$ , that of control group  $26.8\pm9.7\%$ . The average fraction of T cell of study group was  $66.5\pm8.6\%$ , that of control group  $63.9\pm10.5\%$ .
- 3) The followings are nutritional and immunologic parameters of preoperative status.
- (1) The average weight of study group was  $60.3\pm9.0$ kg, that of control group  $58.9\pm9.0$ kg. The average triceps skin fold was  $15.5\pm8.4$  mm, that of control group  $15.0\pm7.1$  mm.
- (2) The average level of hemoglobin of study group was  $13.0\pm1.5$ kg, that of control group  $12.7\pm1.3$ kg. The average level of albumin of study group was  $3.6\pm0.4$  g%, that of control group  $15.0\pm7.1$  mg%. The average level of transferrin of study group was  $284.2\pm0.4$  mg%, that of control group  $237.8\pm43.7$  mg%.
- (3) The PNI of study group was 27.7 $\pm$  15.5, that of control group 30.0 $\pm$  10.1.
  - (4) The average total count of WBC of study group was

- $7004\pm2275.8$ , that of control group  $7062\pm3087.5$ . The average fraction of lymphocyte of study group was  $30.6\pm7.9$ , that of control group  $26.8\pm9.7\%$ . The average fraction of T cell of study group was  $66.5\pm8.6\%$ , that of control group  $63.9\pm10.5\%$ .
- 3) The followings are nutritional and immunologic parameters of 3 months postoperative status.
- (1) The average weight of study group was  $56.1\pm9.1$ kg, that of control group  $54.3\pm11.0$ kg. The average triceps skin fold was  $12.9\pm8.3$  mm, that of control group  $12.5\pm5.8$  mm.
- (2) The average level of hemoglobin of study group was  $12.6\pm1.4~g\%$ , that of control group  $11.6\pm1.7~g\%$ . The average level of albumin of study group was  $4.0\pm0.4~g\%$ , that of control group  $3.7\pm0.3~mg\%$ . The average level of transferrin of study group was  $329.9\pm61.2~mg\%$ , that of control group  $324.7\pm108.0~mg\%$ .
- (3) The PNI of study group was  $9.9 \pm 8.7$ , that of control group  $14.6 \pm 26.8$ .
- (4) The average total count of WBC of study group was  $5878 \pm 2076$ , that of control group  $6423 \pm 2303$ . The average fraction of lymphocyte of study group was  $33.8 \pm 10.1$ , that of control group  $30.0 \pm 12.7\%$ . The average fraction of T cell of study group was  $70.5 \pm 9.2\%$ , that of control group  $62.8 \pm 6.7\%$ .
- 5) The followings are nutritional and immunologic parameters of 12 month postoperative status.
- (1) The average weight of study group was  $55.7\pm8.4$ kg, that of control group  $52.4\pm6.9$ kg. The average triceps skin fold was  $12.0\pm6.1$  mm, that of control group  $9.9\pm3.8$  mm.
- (2) The average level of hemoglobin of study group was  $12.2\pm1.5$  g%, that of control group  $11.6\pm1.5$  g%. The average level of albumin of study group was  $4.0\pm0.3$  g%, that of control group  $3.8\pm0.3$  g%. The average level of transferrin of study group was  $350.9\pm68.8$  mg%, that of control group  $315.5\pm72.6$  mg%.
- (3) The PNI of study group was  $10.8 \pm 7.6$ , that of control group  $13.5 \pm 5.5$ .
- (4) The average total count of WBC of study group was  $6010\pm1028$ , that of control group  $6420\pm1820$ . The average fraction of lymphocyte of study group was  $35.5\pm8.5$ , that of control group  $36.0\pm7.1\%$ . The average fraction of T cell of study group was  $68.8\pm15.0\%$ , that of control group  $64.4\pm5.6\%$ .
- 6) There were no statistical differences between the values of the two groups at same period (p>0.05). In study group, 8 patients revealed (0) of DNCB delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity, 12 patients (+) preoperatively, but 12 months after operation, there were 6 of (+), 13 of (++). In control group, 10 patients revealed (0) of DNCB delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity, 9 patients (+) preoperatively, but 12 months after operation, there were 3 of (0), 12 of (+) and 3 of (++). So, there were more increasement of positivity in the study group than in the control group.

From above results of immunologic and nutritional data, we could conclude that ginseng might reinforce the immunologic mechanism of the patients with gastric carcinoma and might be a partial adjuvant therapeutic agent for gastric cancer.

## 3. Discussion

Ginseng has been used as herb medicine and vital – additive drug for long time and analysis of compositions of ginseng is under study recently. Some people are in favor of anti – cancer effect of ginseng<sup>3, 7, 10, 14, 16, 23)</sup>, but the effect may not be constant and negligible. Furthermore, other people do not agree with that ginseng has anti – cancer effect. Many different result about the ginseng may be due to different methodology, technique and different composition of ginseng. But the anti – tumor growth effect is now acceptable to many oncologists. But report from Cancer Chemotherapy National Service Center and reports from other similar center or research except that of Abbott<sup>1)</sup> are consistent with the effectiveness of ginseng for anti – tumor growth to a considerable degree<sup>7, 8)</sup>.

The anticancer effect of ginseng is explainable by two mechanisms. The one is direct cancer cell killing effect of some composition of ginseng<sup>3, 11, 13)</sup> and the other mechanism is reinforcement of immune function of host, especially immune surveillance mechanism<sup>7, 23, 27)</sup>. The latter mechanism is associated with the function of NK cell, LAK cell, MIF(Macrophage migration inhibition factor), and increased migration of leukocyte<sup>28)</sup>.

So, this study systematically confirmed the anti – cancer effect of longterm administration of ginseng to gastric cancer patients on postoperative nutritional and immune status. We used red ginseng as adjuvant therapy for gastric cancer and studied the anti – cancer mechanism of ginseng.

The authors studied T – cell percentage, total lymphocyte count, hypersensitivity to DNCB to evaluate the tumor immunity by cell mediated immune response. And to evaluate the nutritional status, we studied triceps skin fold, hemoglobin, hematocrit, serum transferrin, and prognostic nutritional index. The following is formula for PNI.

"PNI = 158 - 16.6(Albumin gm/dl) - 0.78(Triceps skin fold mm) - 0.02(serum transferrin mg/dl) - 5.8(delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity)"

Delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity is response to the antigen of mumps, streptokinase – streptodonase, candina. The response is categorized as 0(no response), 1 (diameter\5mm), and 2(diameter\5mm).

All the patients received adjuvant immunochemotherapy with Picibanil, Mitomycin, 5 - Fluorouracil. And they were divided into study and control groups by random sampling. Ginseng powder was administered by oral route to the study group and the control group was not. The red ginseng powder was prepared from 6 - year old red ginseng root and 5400 mg(54 capsules) was given daily by oral route to the study group for 12 months. But the control group could not be administered with placebo because the agreement with the study might not be obtainable.

We confirmed improvement of immune function of the patient who received ginseng. We also confirmed that the ginseng was also effective for the improvement of nutritional status of patient. But the study must be extended to obtain the exact mechanism of anti-tumor effect of the ginseng and long-term effect

### REFERENCES

- Abbott BJ, Leiter JL, Perdue RE, Schpartz SA: Screening data from the Cancer Chemotheraphy National Service Center Screening Laboratories. XXXII. Plant Extracts. Cancer Res 26(suppl): 391, 1966.
- Brekhman II, Dardymov IV: New substances of plant origin which increase nonspecific resistance. Ann Rev Pharm 9: 419, 1969.
- Cha SM, Hwang WI: Federation Proceedings 34: 3315, 1975
- Heu, Samuel DJ: Anticancer Chinese herbal medicines. Am J Chinese Med 1: 271, 1973.
- 5) James LM, Gordon PB, David CM, Brian FS, Ernest LM : Reduction of operative morbidity and mortality by combined preoperative and postoperative nutritional support. Ann Surg 192: 604, 1980.
- Lazarev NV, Ljublina EI, Rozin MA: The condition of nonspecific increased resistance. Patol Experim Terapia 4: 16, 1959.
- 7) Lee KD, Heumer RP: Antihumoral activity of panax ginseng extracts. Japan J Pharm 21: 299, 1971.
- 8) Mironova AI: On the influence of extracts out of the roots of ginseng and eleutherococus on the Ehrlich carcinoma growth. Vopr Onkol 9:42, 1963.
- 9) Partwell JL: Plants used against cancer, A Survey. Llodia 30: 379, 1976.
- Protocols for screening chemical agents and natural products against animal tumors and other biological systems. Cancer Chemoth Reports 25: 1, 1962.
- 11) Woo LK, Nakamura Y, Donati L: The effect of Korean ginseng on the growth rate of the cell. 서울대학교 생약연구소 업적집 IV: 1, 1965.
- 12) Yeremenko KV: Effect of Eleutherococcus, Panax and Divalzol on the innoculability of intravenously introduced tumorous cells. Mater. Izuch. Zhen'shenya Drugikh Lek. Stredstv Dal'nego Vostoka 7: 109, 1996.
- 13) Yuan GC, Chang RS: Testing of compounds for capacity to prolong post mitotic life span of cultured human amniotic cells. Effect of steroids and Panax ginseng. J Gerontol 24:82, 1969.
- 14) 공태훈, 이우윤: 현쥐의 암에 대한 고려인삼 엑기스 주제의 치료 효과에 관하여. 한국인삼사, 한국인삼경작조합연합회, 1980, pp. 752.
- 15) 김병일: 마우스의 한냉내력에 미치는 인삼 기타 약물의 영향. 종합의학 8:351, 1963.
- 16) 김익제, 김학현: Walker carcinoma 256 백서 골수 이식에 미치는 고려인삼의 영향에 관한 실험적 연구. 가톨릭의대

- 논문집 16: 161, 1969.
- 18) 김정진 : 고려인삼, hydrocortisone 및 chlorpromazine이 한 냉폭로한 마우스의 혈청단백에 미치는 영향, 가톨릭대학의 학부논문집 8:251, 1964.
- 19) 김진복, 이용옥, 김춘규, 장선택 : 최선외과학, 일조각, 1987, pp. 450.
- 20) 김하석 : 조선인삼이 가토 혈액상에 미치는 영향, 조선의학 회잡지 21:1131, 1964.
- 21) 선우창원, 김철: 고려인삼이 추위에 폭로된 흰쥐의 아스코 르빈산 및 혈청단백질에 미치는 영향. 최신의학 8:1167, 1965
- 22) 윤연숙, 이세영, 김병수, 윤택구 : 인삼의 세포독성분획의 작용기작에 관한 연구. 한국생화학회지 13:203, 1980.
- 23) 윤택구, 윤연숙, 한인원 : 홍삼의 경구제가 화학적 발안물질 에 의한 마우스 및 렛트의 압 발생 빈도에 미치는 영향에 관한 연구. 인삼시험연구용역보고서. 한국인삼연초연구소, 1980, pp. 13333.

- 24) 이명수 : 인삼이 기초대사에 미치는 영향, 중앙의학 2 : 509, 1963.
- 25) 이상성 : 수종의 steroid hormone 및 인삼 추출액이 Ehrlich 복수 단압 마우스의 산 및 신장조직의 산소 소비량, 해당작용 및 핵산량에 미치는 영향에 관한 연구, 종합의학 8 : 87, 1963.
- 26) 이상인 : 한방에서 본 인삼.한국 인삼사, 하권 7장 : 516, 1980.
- 27) 하대유, 이성호, 이현구 : 고려인샵이 3 Methylcholantrene의 발압등에 미치는 영향, 인삼시험용역보고자, 한국인 삼연초연구소, 1980.
- 28) 한만동, 김진목 : 인삼 추출물이 N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine에 의한 Wister 취의 위압 발생에 미치는 영향에 관한 실험적 연구, JKSS 26:1126, 1983.
- 29) 황우익, 이연태, 한용남, 이성동 : 고려 홍삼에 의한 항암 및 면역 증강 효과 연구 미발표.