

110. 벼 등숙기의 생장調節劑 처리가 종실 및穗發芽에 미치는影響

江原道 農科振興院

史鍾九*, 金起植, 韓世喜, 許範堯

建國大學校 農科大學

金光錫

Effects of plant growth regulator treatments at ripening stage on seed and viviparous germination in Rice

Gang Weon R. D. A.

J. G. SA, K. S. KIM, S. K. HAN, B. L. HUH

Konkuk Uni. College of Agri.

K. H. KIM

實驗目的

벼 등숙기의 不良한 氣象環境으로 予見치 勿한 災害中의 하나인 穗發芽 現象은 考案되어 且 生장調節劑를 處理할 때에 어떠한 反應을 나타내는지를 檢討 하였다.

材料 및 方法

品種間 穗發芽性은 같기 爲하여 登熟期向中 같은 降雨가 지속 되었던 今年 江原道 春川에서 土壤以外 15品種을 對象으로 穗發芽率을 調査 하였고, 대비비와 대생비는 供試田의 出穂後 20, 30, 40日에 NAA와 CMH는 各 各 1, 10, 100 ppm 그리고 Reglone은 0.2, 0.4, 0.6%로 각각의 處理 하였다.

實驗結果 및 考察

1. 圃場條件下에서 穗發芽性은 자포니카型中 出穂가 빠른 早熟種은 이 높았고 中晚生種과 統一型 品種은 穗發芽가 되지 않았다.
2. 生장調節劑 處理反應에서 供試한 各品種 共히 NAA, CMH 및 Reglone 모두 穗發芽 抑制現象을 보였는데 그中 NAA와 CMH는 100ppm, Reglone은 0.4-0.6% 處理 濃度에서 가장 效果的 이었다.
3. 生장調節劑가 處理된 種實의 發芽率은 NAA와 CMH處理는 無處理와 差異가 良好하였으나 Reglone處理는 發芽率이 낮았다.
4. 登熟率은 NAA와 CMH는 無處理와 差異 없었으나 Reglone處理는 낮았다.
5. 種實 劣質은 NAA만이 無處理와 비교 하였으며 CMH와 Reglone은 濃度가 높을 수록 茶褐色을 띄는 程이 많았다.
6. 揚粒特性을 보면 NAA만이 無處理와 비교 하였으며 CMH와 Reglone은 出穂後 20~30日에 處理한 巴는 完全米 比率이 높았다.

Table 1. Comparison of viviparous germination among varieties

Variety	Heading date	Viviparous germination	Variety	Heading date	Viviparous germination
Sobachkyeo	July 21	4.3 %	Cheumdalgyeo	Aug. 2	2.0 %
Chitakkyeo	" 21	9.3	Bakyangkyeo	" 3	0
Daeseungkyeo	" 25	11.5	Taebachkyeo	" 4	0
Yeonyeungkyeo	" 25	3.2	Gangkyeo	" 5	0
Seoulkyeo	" 26	5.5	Saengkyeo	" 8	0
Bokwangkyeo	" 28	3.3	Yongkyeo	" 8	0
Kwanakkyeo	Aug. 1	8.0	Heungwangkyeo	" 15	0
Bokandkyeo	" 1	8.6	Saenggyeo	" 17	0

Table 2. Effect of growth regulator treatments in the viviparous germination in rice plant (unit: %)

Treatment	Taebachkyeo			Daeseungkyeo		
	20/VI	30/VI	40/VI	20/VI	30/VI	40/VI
1. Non treatment	47.9 ^a	62.0 ^a	62.5 ^a	62.5 ^a	80.6 ^a	89.3 ^a
2. NAA 1ppm	7.6 ^b	8.6 ^b	9.1 ^{bc}	8.2 ^b	9.6 ^{bc}	10.4 ^b
3. " 10 "	3.0 ^c	4.0 ^c	5.0 ^d	5.5 ^{cd}	6.3 ^{cd}	6.4 ^{cd}
4. " 100 "	2.7 ^{cd}	3.1 ^{cd}	3.0 ^d	4.3 ^{cd}	4.7 ^{cd}	3.9 ^{cd}
5. CMH 1 "	7.9 ^b	8.8 ^b	9.4 ^b	8.6 ^b	9.8 ^b	9.7 ^b
6. " 10 "	3.8 ^c	5.5 ^c	5.6 ^{cd}	6.0 ^c	6.0 ^{cd}	6.2 ^{cd}
7. " 100 "	3.2 ^{cd}	3.2 ^{cd}	3.7 ^d	5.2 ^{cd}	5.8 ^{cd}	4.1 ^{cd}
8. Reglax 0.2 %	3.3 ^{cd}	4.2 ^{cd}	4.8 ^d	5.2 ^{cd}	5.3 ^{cd}	6.0 ^{cd}
9. " 0.4 %	2.3 ^d	2.8 ^d	4.2 ^d	3.9 ^d	4.4 ^d	3.8 ^{cd}
10. " 0.6 %	1.9 ^d	1.0 ^d	3.6 ^d	2.4 ^d	2.7 ^d	2.6 ^d
C. V (%)	4.82	6.04	11.27	1.53	10.02	13.59

Table 3. Effect of growth regulator treatments on the rice seed germination (unit: %)

Variety	Treatment	Test I			Test II		
		20/VI	30/VI	40/VI	20/VI	30/VI	40/VI
Taebachkyeo	1. Non treatment	62	85	85	64	86	85
	2. NAA 1ppm	60	84	81	85	82	91
	3. " 10 "	58	82	82	82	80	82
	4. " 100 "	57	81	82	83	82	90
	5. CMH 1 "	59	83	83	62	84	92
	6. " 10 "	58	82	82	60	82	88
	7. " 100 "	50	80	81	59	81	88
	8. Reglax 0.2 %	10	28	38	49	76	89
	9. " 0.4 %	6	18	27	45	75	89
	10. " 0.6 %	2	12	23	45	70	86
Daeseungkyeo	1. Non treatment	70	92	100	72	89	100
	2. NAA 1ppm	69	90	95	70	91	98
	3. " 10 "	67	90	94	71	90	99
	4. " 100 "	66	89	92	70	91	95
	5. CMH 1 "	68	89	94	70	90	94
	6. " 10 "	67	88	92	68	89	92
	7. " 100 "	66	88	92	69	88	91
	8. Reglax 0.2 %	22	42	56	62	80	90
	9. " 0.4 %	15	36	45	60	80	90
	10. " 0.6 %	10	25	33	52	75	89

* Note: Test I: germination test after one month
 Test II: germination test after six months

Table 4. Effect of growth regulator treatments on the ripening rate in rice plant (unit: %)

Treatment	Taebachkyeo			Daeseungkyeo		
	20/VI	30/VI	40/VI	20/VI	30/VI	40/VI
1. Non treatment	78.5	78.5	78.5	82.1	82.1	82.1
2. NAA 1ppm	77.4	78.2	79.7	81.0	82.3	81.9
3. " 10 "	77.1	77.0	78.7	80.1	82.1	82.4
4. " 100 "	78.3	77.3	77.9	79.4	81.4	81.9
5. CMH 1 "	76.3	76.7	77.1	80.9	79.7	82.0
6. " 10 "	75.3	75.6	76.4	80.1	78.8	81.0
7. " 100 "	72.0	73.2	75.3	79.0	79.3	81.0
8. Reglax 0.2 %	66.7	70.3	78.7	72.2	75.3	80.0
9. " 0.4 %	63.7	66.4	75.8	69.4	72.0	81.4
10. " 0.6 %	57.6	60.2	72.0	61.0	69.3	80.1

Table 5. Effect of growth regulator treatments on the variation of seed color

Treatment	Taebachkyeo			Daeseungkyeo		
	20/VI	30/VI	40/VI	20/VI	30/VI	40/VI
1. Non treatment	○	●	○	●	●	○
2. NAA 1ppm	●	●	○	●	●	○
3. " 10 "	○	○	○	●	●	○
4. " 100 "	○	○	○	●	●	○
5. CMH 1 "	●	●	○	●	●	○
6. " 10 "	●	●	○	●	●	○
7. " 100 "	●	●	○	●	●	○
8. Reglax 0.2 %	●	●	○	●	●	○
9. " 0.4 %	●	●	○	●	●	○
10. " 0.6 %	●	●	○	●	●	○

○: Light brown ●: Brown ○: Dark brown

Table 6. Effect of growth regulator treatments on the milling quality of rice grain (unit: %)

Variety	Treatment	20 day rec. after heading			30 day rec. after heading			40 day rec. after heading		
		P	B	I	P	B	I	P	B	I
Taeba- chkyeo	Non treatment	77.0	5.8	17.5	77.0	5.8	17.5	77.0	5.5	17.5
	NAA 1ppm	70.0	5.5	16.6	78.6	5.0	16.5	78.0	5.5	16.5
	" 10 "	77.0	6.0	17.0	78.0	5.5	16.5	78.5	6.0	15.6
	" 100 "	77.5	6.5	16.0	78.0	5.5	16.5	77.5	5.0	17.5
	CMH 1 "	76.6	6.0	17.5	77.0	6.0	17.0	77.5	6.0	17.0
Daese- ungkyeo	Non treatment	84.0	5.0	11.0	84.0	5.0	11.0	84.0	5.0	11.0
	NAA 1ppm	83.5	5.0	11.5	83.5	6.0	10.5	84.0	5.5	10.9
	" 10 "	83.0	5.0	12.0	83.5	5.5	11.0	83.5	6.0	10.5
	" 100 "	83.5	5.0	11.5	83.0	6.0	11.0	83.0	6.0	11.0
	CMH 1 "	82.5	5.5	12.0	83.5	5.0	11.5	84.0	6.0	10.0
Reglax	0.2 %	70.5	8.0	22.0	78.5	6.5	15.5	82.0	7.0	11.0
	0.4 %	65.0	16.0	25.0	75.0	6.5	18.0	80.0	8.0	12.0
	0.6 %	60.5	13.5	25.0	73.5	7.5	18.0	78.0	9.5	11.0

P: Perfect rice B: Broken rice I: Imperfect rice